



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Assessing the Cost of Beef Quality Revisited

**Maro A. Ibarburu-Blanc, John D. Lawrence,
Darrell Busby and Daryl Strohbehn,
Iowa Beef Center @ Iowa State University**

**Special acknowledgement to the Tri-County Steer Carcass
Futurity for the use of their dataset and to Certified Angus
Beef for financial support.**

Introduction and Objectives

- Forristall et al. (2002) found that marbling was the most important performance and carcass trait determining feedlot profit.
- Prices for corn and cattle have changed
 - 1996-99 were \$64.13 and \$2.49
 - 2005-08 were \$88.87 and \$3.04
- Objective: What is the relative importance of performance and carcass traits under the now higher prices?

Data

- Tri-County Steer Carcass Futurity
 - Fourth quarter placements
 - 180-540 days of age
 - 10,384 steers and 3,255 heifers
 - Less variable than industry standard, CV for
 - carcass weight: 11% v. 13%
 - yield grade: 20% v. 31%

Data

- Biological correlations and economic antagonisms
 - HCW: strong positive correlation with REA and ADG
 - ADG: negative correlation to FG
 - MS: positive with FC and FG
- Marbling is less correlated than some variables, but has a positive relationship with ADG, but negative with REA, PW and HT.

Methods

- Standardized prices for feed, feeder cattle and fed cattle.
- Baseline Choice-Select spread = \$8
- Typical grid in the industry for determining individual animal value
- Calculate Net Return (NR) per head based on actual performance and carcass data and standardized prices.

Methods

1. Regress variables on NR to determine which factors have the greatest impact.
 - $NR_i = f(FG_i, HCW_i, FC_i, REA_i, KPH_i, MAR_i, PW_i, HC_i)$
 - Separate equations for steers and heifers
2. Repeat at different prices to evaluate sensitivity of results

Methods

- The *regression beta* is the dollar impact on NR of changing a variable by one unit.
- The *standardized beta* is the relative importance of the variable
 - Adjusts for variation
 - Compares apples to apples

Results

Tri-County Steer Carcass Futurity Steers Placed on Feed in Fourth Quarter. Dependent Variable is Net Return per Head

R2 & obs are:		0.78	10,384
Variable	Regression Beta	Std Error	Standardize Beta
Intercept	-649.04	10.20	0.00
Hot Carcass Wt	0.35	0.01	0.25
Fat Cover	-53.67	3.77	-0.08
Ribeye Area	12.10	0.46	0.15
Marbling Score	0.52	0.01	0.42
Feed To Gain	-26.05	0.82	-0.23
Daily Gain	35.82	1.41	0.20
Placement Weight	-0.34	0.01	-0.34
Health treatments	-1.29	0.03	-0.23

Economic value of a one unit change in the independent variable on the net returns for steers and heifers placed in the fourth quarter

Variable	One Unit	Steers	Heifers
Intercept		-649.04	-496.39
Hot Carcass Wt	10 pound	3.50	4.60
Fat Cover	1/10 inch	-5.37	-10.65
Ribeye Area	1 sq. inch	12.10	12.12
Marbling Score	10 degrees	5.17	4.17
Feed To Gain	1/10 pound	-2.61	-2.87
Daily Gain	1/10 pound	3.58	2.15
Placement Weight	10 pound	-3.40	-2.90
Health treatments	1 dollar	-1.29	-1.24

Sensitivity Analysis

- Feed Cost +/- 20%
 - Little impact on MS
 - HCW, FG and PW increasingly important
 - At lower feed cost PW as important as MS
- Base carcass price +/- \$10/cwt
 - Little impact on MS
 - HCW only variable to increase in importance
- MS still most important within these ranges

Sensitivity Analysis

- Compared Choice - Select spread at \$4, \$8, \$12 and \$16
 - MS increasingly important with wider spreads
 - Other variable decrease in relative importance
- At approximately \$6 Choice-Select spread PW is of equal relative importance to MS and is more important at lower spreads

Summary

- Economic antagonisms exist:
 - i.e., higher marbling cattle put on more external fat and require more feed per pound of gain
- Marbling is still the most important performance and carcass trait even with higher corn and cattle prices
- Placement weight become as important as marbling at a Choice-Select spread of approximately \$6

Thank you!

A copy of the paper may be found at
www.iowabeefcenter.org