

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search http://ageconsearch.umn.edu aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

VIP Pass to Markets: What Customs Certification Tells us about NTMs Restrictiveness

Charlotte Emlinger and Jean Fouré

Selected Paper prepared for presentation at the International Agricultural Trade Research Consortium's (IATRC's) 2019 Annual Meeting: Recent Advances in Applied General Equilibrium Modeling: Relevance and Application to Agricultural Trade Analysis, December 8-10, 2019, Washington, DC.

Copyright 2019 by Charlotte Emlinger and Jean Fouré. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for noncommercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Conclusion

VIP pass to markets: What customs certification tells us about NTMs restrictiveness

Charlotte Emlinger, Jean Fouré

Virginia Tech, CEPII

IATRC, 12/09/2019



Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Conclusion
0000			

A new generation of trade agreements

- Second generation trade agreements negotiated in the 2010s
 EU-Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement
- Aimed at going beyond tariffs
 - Reduction of trade costs induced by Non-Tariff Measures
 - Without lowering off the level of protection for the consumers
- Different measures to achieve this goal
 - Mutual recognition of certification bodies
 - Trade facilitation provision at the border
 - \Rightarrow Reduction of the administrative component of NTMs

Motivation ○●○○	Data 00000	Empirical specification	Results 0000	Conclusion
			This	paper

- Aims at assessing the amount of trade costs that can be reduced through trade facilitation provision on NTMs
- Relies on the AEO certification, a firm level trade facilitation measure
- Uses :
 - An original database of French AEO certified firms
 - French firm-level trade and characteristics data
 - WITS occurrence of NTMS for a large set of countries
- Follows a differences-in-differences approach to assess the impact of NTMs before and after firms' certification
- Deals with endogeneity of certified firms

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
00●0	00000		0000	00
			Literatu	re (1)

- Effects of **NTMs** are manyfold: (*Fugazza 2008*)
 - Cost-raising effect (variable/fixed, ex ante/at the border, information/compliance)
 - Supply-shift effect (compatibility standards, hazardous products)
 - Demand-shift effect (labelling)
 - Trade barriers (Beghin et al. 2005) or catalyst (Crivelli and Groeschl 2015)
 - Heterogeneity in NTMs types (Santeramo and Lamonaca 2010)
 - Heterogeneous effects according to the size and type of firms (Fontagné Orefice 2018, Fontagné et al 2015)



The extend of general Administrative costs

- Computation of ad-valorem equivalent of per-shipment cost reduction (Hornok and Koren 2013)
- Trade effect of a day in transit (Hummels and Schaur 2013)

Literature on Trade facilitation measures

 Focusing on the Trade Facilitation Agreement, using the OECD Trade Facilitation Indicators (*Moise et al 2011, Fontagné et al 2016, Hillberry and Zhang 2017*)

 \Rightarrow Our objective: bridge the gap between administrative costs and NTMs in the literature.

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Conclusion
	•0000		

Authorized Economic Operators

Customs-to-Business partnership

- Part of World Customs Organization (WCO) programs
- Aims to enhance international supply chain security
- Certification by national customs authorities
- Based on the internationally recognized standards

Benefits :

- Customs simplifications
- Fewer physical and document-based controls
- Priority treatment if selected for control
- Granted:
 - At the border of the exporting country
 - At the entry of the EU and of countries with mutual recognition agreement (Switzerland 2009, Norway 2009, Japan 2010, USA 2012, China 2014)

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	0●000		0000	00
			Data so	

Firm level data

- Original and exhaustive dataset of AEO firms from the European Customs
- French Customs trade data (value and quantity) by firm, product, destination and year
- FARE Data characteristics of firms (VA, turnover, employees)

Country level data

- Occurrence of NTMs by country from WITS (SPS and TBTs chapter A and B of UNCTAD classification)
- World Bank WDI GDP
- \rightarrow 40,632 firms from 2008 to 2016
- \rightarrow All products, all non-European destinations
- \rightarrow Exclusion of wholesalers and transportation activities

Motivation 0000	Data 00●00	Empirical specification	Results 0000	Conclus 00	
		_			





Figure: Number of certified firms, 2008-2016

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	000●0		0000	00

Descriptive statistics



Figure: Mean export value by firm-destination-product-year for AEO and non AEO firms, for destination-product with NTM, 2008-2016

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	0000●		0000	00

Descriptive statistics



Figure: Share of export values on product-destination with NTM

Emlinger, Fouré



$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Exp}_{\textit{fjkt}} &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 A \mathsf{EO}_{\textit{ft}} + \alpha_2 M \mathsf{RA}_{\textit{jt}} + \alpha_3 A \mathsf{EO}_{\textit{ft}} \times \mathsf{MRA}_{\textit{jt}} \\ &+ \alpha_4 A \mathsf{EO}_{\textit{ft}} \times \mathsf{NTM}_{\textit{jt}} + \alpha_5 M \mathsf{RA}_{\textit{jt}} \times \mathsf{NTM}_{\textit{jt}} + \alpha_6 A \mathsf{EO}_{\textit{ft}} \times \mathsf{MRA}_{\textit{jt}} \times \mathsf{NTM}_{\textit{jk}} \\ &+ \beta \mathsf{AVE}_{\textit{jkt}} + \Gamma \mathsf{productivity}_{\textit{ft}} + \delta \mathsf{GDP}_{\textit{jt}} + \xi_{\textit{fjk}} + \upsilon_t + \varepsilon_{\textit{fjkt}} \end{split}$$

 AEO_{ft} is a dummy indicating whether firm f is certified the year t MRA_{jt} a dummy indicating whether country j has a Agreement with France in t NTM_{jk} , a dummy indicating whether a NTM applies on product k in j

Intensive margin $Exp_{ijkt} = lv_{ijkt}$ log of exports of f to j for the k at t extensive margin $Exp_{ijkt} = X_{ijkt}$ dummy indicating whether f export k to j ft

 \Rightarrow Differences-in-differences specification : impact of NTMs **before and after** firms' certification through firm-product-destination fixed effect ξ_{fik} .

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000	○●	0000	

Endogeneity of firm's certification

- Endogeneity of firm's decision to certify : can be linked to their decision to export (or to export more / to some specific destinations) or to their products specialization
- Instrumental variables approach
 - share of the firms' turnover made on foreign markets
 - share of the firms' exports made on markets with mutual recognition agreement the year before

Motivation 0000	Data 00000	Empirical specification	Results ●000	Conclusion 00
			Result	ts (1)
		(1) (2)	(3) (4)	

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
AEO _{ft}	0.743**	0.599**	0.401***	0.449***
	(0.292)	(0.256)	(0.112)	(0.109)
$AEO_{ft} \times MRA_{it}$	0.166	-0.015	-0.019	-0.097*
	(0.222)	(0.187)	(0.052)	(0.050)
AVE _{ikt}	-0.087	-0.092	0.091	0.096
<u>,</u>	(0.275)	(0.274)	(0.070)	(0.070)
Productivity _{fr}	0.057	0.056	-0.023	-0.020
	(0.124)	(0.123)	(0.038)	(0.038)
GDP _{it}	0.434**	0.415**	0.066**	0.077*
	(0.171)	(0.169)	(0.039)	(0.042)
MRA _{it}	-0.081	-0.016	0.004	0.045
	(0.153)	(0.187)	(0.036)	(0.036)
$AEO_{ft} \times MRA_{it} \times NTM_{ik}$		0.208		0.118*
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(0.276)		(0.065)
$AEO_{ft} \times NTM_{ik}$		0.214***		-0.090***
, ,		(0.078)		(0.024)
$MRA_{it} \times NTM_{ik}$		-0.111		-0.053
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(0.280)		(0.049)
Nber Obs.	350,590	350,590	1,025,187	1,025,187
R-squared	-0.02	-0.02	-0.08	
Hansen p value	0.89	0.50	0.66	0.25
Underidentification	11.66	9.37	35.54	17.54
Weak identification F-test	16.60	18.88	30.86	42.12
Weak identification p-value	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hausman Wu test p-value	0.05	0.34	0.36	0.10

Firm-destination-product and year fixed effects included Robust standard errors clustered by country-pairs in parentheses.

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000		0●00	00
			Resul	ts (2)

	lv _{fjkt} (1)	X _{fjkt} (2)
${AEO_{ft}}\timesMRA_{jt}\timesNTM-ADM_{jk}$	 0.294	0.093
$ extbf{AEO}_{ft} imes extbf{NTM-ADM}_{jk}$	(0.340) 0.290***	(0.065) -0.087***
$AEO_f imes MRA_{jt} imes NTM-OTH_{jk}$	(0.080) -0.002	(0.027) 0.174*
$AEO_{ft} imes NTM ext{-}OTH_{jk}$	(0.362) 0.101	(0.089) -0.094***
$MRA_{jt} imes NTM ext{-}ADM_{jk}$	(0.089) -0.162	(0.024) -0.042
$MRA_{jt} imes NTM-OTH_{jk}$	(0.319) -0.040	(0.049) -0.077
	(0.302) 	(0.061)

Firm-destination-product and year fixed effects included Robust standard errors clustered by country-pairs in parentheses. * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

Motivation 0000	Data 00000	Data Empirical sp 00000 00		cification Re oc		Conclusion 00
					Results	; (3)
			lv _{fjkt} (1)	X _{fjkt} (2)	=	
	$\stackrel{\dots}{AEO_{ft}} imesMF$	$RA_{jt} imes SPS-ADM_{jk}$	 0.052 (0.337)	-0.139** (0.062)		
	$AEO_{ft} imes SP$	S-ADM _{jk}	-0.044 (0.152)	0.001 (0.035)		
	$AEO_{ft} imes MF$	$RA_{jt} imes TBT-ADM_{jk}$	-0.294 (0.890)	0.014 (0.119)		
	$AEO_{ft} \times TB$	T-ADM _{jk}	0.359** (0.143)	-0.080*** (0.023)		
	$AEO_{ft} \times MF$	$A_{jt} \times SPS-OTH_{jk}$	0.224 (0.715)	0.366 (0.192)		
	$AEO_{ft} \times SP$	S-OTH _{jk}	0.063 (0.111)	-0.065* (0.037)		
	$AEO_{ft} \times MF$	$RA_{jt} imes TBT-OTH_{jk}$	-0.432 (0.749)	0.027 (0.105)		
	$AEO_{ft} \times TB$	T-OTH _{jk}	0.132 (0.122)	-0.088*** (0.023)		
	$MRA_{jt} imes SP$	S-ADM _{jk}	0.212 (0.287)	0.137*** (0.048)		
	$MRA_{jt} \times TE$	3T-ADM _{jk}	0.165 (0.681)	0.000 (0.077)		
	$MRA_{jt} \times SP$	S-OTH _{jk}	-0.102 (0.521)	-0.217 (0.139)		
	$MRA_{jt} \times TE$	31-01H _{jk}	0.183 (0.547)	0.023 (0.065)		

Firm-destination-product and year fixed effects included

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000		000●	00
			Robustness cl	necks

- We performed a robustness checks with an alternative set of IV
 - Share of neighboring firms that are certified in the same sector
 - Share of exported products with Non-Tariff Measures
- Our results remain the same and the statistical tests validate the choice of these instruments equally



- We rely on the AEO certification of French firms to assess the level of administrative trade costs induced by NTM at the border
- We show that :
 - AEO certification fosters trade value, to a greater extend when facing NTM, in particular TBT conformity assessment
 - AEO certification increases trade probability, to a smaller extend when facing NTM
 - Mutual Recognition Agreement only impact trade probability for products with SPS

Motivation	Data	Empirical specification	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000		0000	OO
			Conclusio	on (2)

• We can conclude that :

- A reduction on customs formalities has a greater impact on volumes of products with NTMs
- NTMs have a administrative component, that can be reduced through trade facilitation provisions
- Difference of impact on trade volumes / trade probability
- A work in progress !
 - Theoretical model to discuss the fixed/variable nature of the administrative costs induced by NTM