



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>
aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Conditions for Successful Land Reform in Africa

By

Jan A. Groenewald

*Paper presented at Pre-IAAE Conference on African
Agricultural Economics, Bloemfontein, South Africa, August 13-14, 2003*

CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL LAND REFORM IN AFRICA

By

Jan A. Groenewald
University of the Free State

ABSTRACT

Land reform has traditionally had two objectives: equity and productivity. Food insecurity and the need for agriculture to contribute to development emphasise the need to maintain and improve productivity while improving equitability. Land must foster production and agriculture must attract good human material. The main problem involves policy formulation and delivery. Necessary conditions include: (1) A proper institutional framework involving all the relevant public and private bodies: the role and tasks of each should be clear, and also relationships between institutions. (2) Proper fiscal planning is essential. (3) Potentially successful farmers must be selected and given special support, including extension and adult education. Existing extension services are generally not adequate, particularly in the fields of finance and marketing. (4) Complementary services and infrastructure are needed in the form of improved access to financial services, markets and inputs and also improved transport, health, communications and other infrastructure. (5) As not all functions can be done at the same time, proper prioritising is needed to optimise the process. (6) Land tenure reform is usually necessary: property rights and security of tenure are at the core of the matter.

International agricultural markets are very important: there is a need for wealthy nations to cease trade-distorting protection of their own farmers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Successful land reform has certainly been one of the largest challenges in agricultural development practically all over the Third World – in Africa, Asia, Central and Southern America and Eastern Europe, agriculture was plagued with problems such as uneven access to land resources, severe rural poverty, unproductive use of land and resources and also social, economic and political inequality. Issues concerning the occupation, ownership and use of land have very often been at the root of revolutions. There are large similarities and equally large dissimilarities in land systems and traditions in different continents and also parts and countries in the same continent. In by far the major part of Sub Saharan Africa, colonial or semi-colonial rule was still reality a half century ago. Colonial structures superseded local structures and customs. Neither have the approximately forty years of decolonisation and political independence brought in their wake agricultural and economic progress and prosperity. Land issues have in most parts contributed to the lack of progress. Ethnic issues have in parts of Africa been important contributors to social, political and economic unrest, including those impacting on the use, distribution and productivity of land resources. Rwanda, Burundi and Congo (the present DRC) are examples. In some

other, white-black racial issues are involved and can, if the land issue is not properly resolved, lead to severe unrest and economic deterioration, as is currently occurring in Zimbabwe. South Africa and Namibia are certainly the two prominent examples. This short paper will largely concentrate on South Africa, but by far the most of the principles are certainly valid elsewhere in Africa.

2. SUCCESSFUL LAND REFORM DEFINED

The definition of success depends on goals. Land reform has traditionally had two main objectives: equity and productivity. The equity objective is closely associated with political egalitarian issues and has often and for long occupied the centre stage. It has often been regarded important enough for authorities to ignore productivity and efficiency – for example post-revolutionary reforms in the USA, reforms in Western Europe following the French Revolution, Latin American reforms after 1910. It was also the case in USSR land reforms after World War I, early East European reforms following World War II and the reforms in Korea, Japan and Taiwan after World War II (Ruttan, 1969). One has to add, though, that the latter three countries have since shown increasing agricultural growth which in the case of Japan, was a continuation of a process starting in the mid-nineteenth century.

Literature abounds with proof that agriculture and therefore, also improved productivity in agriculture, has a central role to play in economic development. Urban-centred development policies taxing or neglecting agriculture have been shown to have seriously retarded economic development in countries such as China (Lin, 1994), India (Srinivasan, 1994) and Nigeria (Ilorah, 2000). In addition, food insecurity has been endemic in most of Africa, with most of the continent being unlikely to reach a position of acceptable food security in the first part of the 21st century (Shapouri & Rosen, 1997). Injudicious economic policies, particularly injudicious land reform actions, have changed Zimbabwe from a position of acceptable food security to one of rampant starvation. The injudicious process in the USSR and parts of Eastern Europe eventually forced the State to enforce collectivisation or nationalisation as plummeting production led to food insecurity and economic problems. It is clear that agricultural productivity needs to be maintained and improved

Agricultural growth and development cannot occur in a vacuum; a modern agriculture depends for its development on linkages, just as the contribution of agriculture to the economy depends on linkages with other sectors. Mosher (1971, chap. 1) summarised the activities affecting agricultural performance as commercial agri-support activities, non-commercial agri-support activities and the agri-milieu.

The challenge to be met is therefore to arrange land and agricultural matters in such a way that both equity and productivity are improved, thereby contributing to higher living standards and improved food security, not only to those directly participating in farming, but for the population as a whole. The land resource must be rendered able to achieve the following:

- Land must be able to foster agricultural production on a sustainable basis; besides delivering products over the short run, land must be preserved and conserved in perpetuity.
- The need to provide for increasing returns over time automatically implies the ability to attract capital, both owned and borrowed. Thus, the person(s) farming the land must have secure tenure and also be able to reap the benefits of investments made, and technology introduced.
- The land must be able to provide an attractive living place for those who utilise it – acceptable and attractive living styles must be possible.
- The system should evolve into one that stimulates the use of land for its best use, thus the use that will provide society and the economy with the highest return over time. This implies intertemporal equalisation of marginal returns (in terms of satisfaction, utility and value product) among all types of land at all localities and for all uses.
- Land, its tenure and its use must be such that it attracts high calibre people to its ranks. In farming, as in any other occupation, it is management and entrepreneurship that determine success; the failure of systems involving the indiscriminate parcelling of land in small units and indiscriminate distribution of these units to provide for production (as in early post-WW II Eastern Europe and presently in Zimbabwe) hardly merits any surprise. Farming will attract good entrepreneurs and managers only if the opportunities, rewards and challenges in farming are commensurate with those in alternative occupations.

The ability to build on success, e.g. by expanding should the entrepreneur be able and desire to do so, is vital for future prosperity. So is security of tenure and access to inputs, markets, finance and other services.

Success in land reform clearly does not depend only on principles, or rather conditions such as those briefly sketched above; it ultimately depends on execution, on delivery of land reform policy. People and institutions have to formulate policies, devise means, procedures and administrative bodies to do the job. Action ultimately has to be taken by people and institutions. It is in the inability or unwillingness to deliver that cause many policy programs to flounder and fail. This can easily happen to land reform. Sociologists have argued that once expectations have been aroused for improved welfare, smashed dreams become dangerous. “More than 100 years ago (1856) the French social thinker Alexis de Tocqueville wrote ‘Evils which have been patiently endured when they seem inevitable become intolerable once the idea of escape from them is suggested.’ (in: Bassis *et al*, 1991:192, quoted by Mukhala & Groenewald, 1998). The modern term for this phenomenon is *rising expectations*.” People in a daily struggle just to exist and survive, are very unlikely to rise in protest, but if their economic condition improves, or if they are given what appears to be realistic promises, their expectations rise. “They soon begin to believe that a better life is just around the corner. When these hopes fail to materialise, they become angry and frustrated. The gap between what they expected and what they have now seems intolerable.” (Mukhala & Groenewald, 1998). It is possible that this phenomenon materially contributed to hurried action, causing the present Zimbabwe chaos.

This brief paper will therefore perforce partially concentrate on procedures for successful land reform.

3. IMPORTANT MATTERS IN LAND REFORM

3.1 Institutional framework, programme design and programme implementation

Various public and private bodies are, by necessity, important in a process of land reform. The national government, provincial or state governments and also local government all have crucial roles to play if land reform is to attain its goals. Then also, it is typically not only one national and provincial/state department that is, or should be involved. In South Africa, for example, at least the national and provincial departments of Agriculture, Land Affairs, Finance, Public Works, Finance, Environment and Tourism, Trade and Industry, Education and Labour should be involved, in addition to municipal and in parts of the country, tribal authorities. Private and parastatal bodies that also have important roles to play include the Land Bank, private banks and other financial institutions, farmer associations, commodity organisations, etc.

It is rather obvious that in such a complicated organisational challenge, much can get lost in a bureaucratic maze; the different institutions will obviously not all regard the land reform process with the same sense of importance or urgency, and bottlenecks starting in one government agency can easily create costly delays. Bureaucratic jealousies are another potential source of problems, as is bureaucratic lethargy and the predilection of particularly many public bodies to develop excessive red tape. It is vitally necessary to clearly spell out the role and tasks of each public and private agency involved, clearly defining each one's tasks and responsibilities; it is necessary to obtain clarity concerning relationships among agencies and to design report and feedback methods and responsibilities.

The services of the best authorities in public management and public administration should be obtained to devise the proper framework to design and implement land reform programmes. Tasks have to be defined, responsibilities designated and efficient methods of coordination designed. It is particularly important to prevent costly delays; undue delays in a market-assisted or related programme erode interest on the part of both beneficiary and seller. This has, in fact been identified as a serious problem in South Africa (Kirsten & Van Zyl, 1999), with time lapses between the registering of interest and purchase of land often exceeding two years. Such bureaucratic delay (or ineptitude) can seriously endanger the whole process of land reform, possibly with grave consequences.

3.2 Fiscal matters

Land reform requires much money from the fiscus; much more is needed than the mere subsidised or government-sponsored transfer of land - which in itself needs large government outlays, unless the process is to end in chaos, as in Zimbabwe. Much also has to be spent on infrastructure and human capital development.

There is firstly the need to determine the costs to government of all the actions needed in a land reform programme, including the additional demands on the fiscus stemming from the needed actions involved with all the government and parastatal agencies mentioned above, as well expenditures incurred by contracting private firms to execute some actions. Detailed time schedules are needed. There is also the need to exercise control over expenditure without delaying the process. In addition, the expenses on land reform must be viewed as part of the government's overall fiscal and economic policy.

When under-budgeting occurs, some of the effects are visible very soon. It has for example been reported in the press that land reform was seriously retarded in the Western Cape Province of South Africa because in 2003, funds for acquiring land had been depleted during the first half of the fiscal year. This must certainly be seen as the result of very poor budgeting and planning by the authorities. It was recorded one year ago that the cost to the State to develop land into sustainable units was as yet not known (Cilliers, 2002).

The necessity of proper fiscal planning, including provisions for increased demands over time and also for contingencies, must be regarded as an essential condition for successful land reform.

3.3 Selection of beneficiaries and human capital development

Acquisition of land is a necessary but far from sufficient condition for successful land reform. Not every person can hope to be a successful businessman, lawyer, engineer (and perhaps also agricultural economist?); neither does everybody have the potential to be a successful farmer-entrepreneur or farm manager, employed either by himself/ herself or by another entity.

According to W. Arthur Lewis (1954), success in new agricultural development and settlement largely depends on seven conditions:

1. Choosing the right place;
2. choosing the right settlers;
3. proper physical preparation of the site;
4. settlers' capital;
5. organisation of group activities;
6. the land area per settler; and
5. conditions of tenure.

Beneficiary selection:

Lewis stated that settlers should be agriculturalists with experience and knowledge of farming; they should be people of a similar social background, and have some capital of their own. Experience has certainly shown these observations to be valid. But experience has also taught that although knowledge, experience and capital are certainly necessary, these are not sufficient for success as commercial farmers. There are methods which can be used to predict farmers' likelihood of success. Burger (1971) developed and published a scale of "managerial aptitude" in which farmers were scored on six aspects: future image; record keeping; the existence, type and functionality of an office; their budgeting procedures; maintenance tasks; and organisation and control of labour. Burger

found a strong positive relationship between farmers' scores on this scale and their farming success, as did also Jansen *et al* (1972) in another region. This scale was later somewhat adjusted to also include marketing and purchasing behaviour (Callow & Groenewald, 1993). It should be possible to utilise this scale with fairly small adjustments for the evaluation of potential beneficiaries of land reform. In a later development, Nel *et al* (1998) applied standards from the field of Industrial Psychology; they found these to provide good predictions of success among new farmers, but concluded that the approach was too ponderous for general use as a tool for selection among large numbers of applicants.

Beneficiary selection should also consider the attitudes of people in the areas concerned. In a survey of the attitudes of farm workers in KwaZulu/Natal, Johnson and Schlemmer (1998), respondents were asked who, in their view should benefit most from land reform and the transfer of ownership from white to black. The majority (55%) opined that people like themselves, living and working on white farms should be the main beneficiaries while only 14% opted for black people living in the former "homelands" and the remaining 31% said that both groups should benefit. Those wishing farm workers to benefit most were more prominent among the most educated, the very young and the most senior farm workers. Of those who had spent their entire life on white farms, 77% preferred farm workers to be the beneficiaries. Differences occurred in responses from different districts, indicating that this particular factor could be handled differently in different areas. It may perhaps be added that in general, workers on white farms are more experienced and knowledgeable than subsistence producers in the "homelands". This is possibly also true with respect to their comprehension of the concepts of property rights, as the "homelands" are areas in which communal farming preponderates.

A recent study in two districts in South Africa's North West Province came to the conclusion that many people want rural property, but not to farm. Of respondents in the study, 85% of those who succeeded to obtain loans, did not use these for farming purposes (Manie, 2003). This accentuates the need to identify those who really want to farm and have the ability to do so successfully among prospective beneficiaries.

Human capital:

Newly settled farmers as beneficiaries of land reform have to cope with all the problems faced by existing, mostly white commercial farmers; they also have additional handicaps stemming from their more limited resource base, their more limited experience of commercial farming, their very often lower levels of schooling and their lack of association and contact with the various institutions serving modern commercial agriculture. This emphasises the need for human capital development in the form of extension, adult education and training and other advisory services. At present, the extension services in South Africa, in common with probably the whole of Africa, is woefully inadequate for such a mammoth task. According to Fremy (2000), agricultural extension services all over Sub Saharan Africa are woefully inadequate in terms of numbers, training and the needed infrastructure and other necessities. Small farmers in South Africa certainly are not well informed on matters of financial management, and neither is the majority of extension personnel able to provide informed guidance in this

respect. There is no reason to expect the situation to be any better anywhere in Sub Saharan Africa. It should be possible to partially bridge this gap by enrolling the aid of education authorities through literacy, numeracy and other adult education training programmes. Outsourcing to private service providers can be considered; results will have to be monitored regularly. Fortunately, the younger generations have higher levels of literacy, numeracy and general schooling than the older people, but this is often still not sufficient. Financial management and Accountancy should furthermore become mandatory course material in public schools.

Another area in which extension is usually lacking, is marketing and market information. In a study concerning newly established vegetable farmers in the Eastern Cape Province, the younger producers (29 years and younger) were better educated than the older groups; they also expressed a larger need for marketing information than technical extension, in contrast to the older farmers (Madikizela & Groenewald, 1998).

Much effort and expense will have to be incurred to improve and perhaps completely reorganise government extension services.

Commercial farmers' organisations in South Africa are well aware of the urgent need for land reform to succeed. Quite a number of these organisations, for example Grain South Africa and the National Wool Growers' Association, have started mentor schemes in which established and successful commercial farmers aid and advise new farmers in their business planning and the operation of their farming businesses. One can only hope these efforts will expand rapidly. By May, 2003, Grain South Africa ran approximately 350 study groups involving approximately 8000 black farmers (Gouws, 2003). The Landbank has lately also introduced a financial incentive, called the Social Discount Product (SDP). White farmers with specialised skills can raise finance at considerably lower interest rates in exchange to agreeing to mentor black farmers (Pieterse, 2003). There is however concern that the efforts have been too fragmentary.

3.4 Complementary services and infrastructure

Farming is a rural activity in a rural economy. Different economic occupations depend on each other, and farming simply cannot flourish in the absence of other rural occupations – although they may be offsprings of urban-based concerns – that provide services to farmers. This includes the supply of inputs, marketing services, financial services and research.

Financial services:

It has been shown that small-scale farmers in South Africa, in common with the rest of the developing world, have limited and differential access to credit; those with holdings approaching or achieving commercial size and with titles to land are better off in this respect. The rural financial markets serving small emerging farmers are inefficient; borrowers as well as non-borrowers are credit constrained. Institutions taking small deposits – eg the Post Office – are not those extending credit. Credit to small emerging farmers is moreover too expensive to be used profitably, thereby constraining these people's ability to become fully fledged,

prosperous commercial farmers (Spio, 2003). Serious efforts should be made – as a matter of urgency - to create an environment in which more appropriate financial institutions can develop to serve these people. Village banks have had considerable success in many developing countries. Development of such institutions in South Africa – and possibly some other countries – may require some adjustment in banking acts. Direct government involvement and also subsidised credit must however be avoided; this has internationally had a very poor record (Von Pischke, 1991; Spio & Groenewald, 1997).

Access to markets:

For their development, new farmers depend on revenues obtained by selling products at prices which render it profitable to produce; marketing, or rather access to profitable markets, is vitally important. Van Renen (1997) summarises the marketing situation of small farmers as follows:

- Substantial amounts of their production are either used for home consumption or sold to local communities.
- Their use of channels available varies among individuals and also among provinces, being mainly influenced by the availability of market information and infrastructure.
- Although cooperatives do play a role in their grain marketing, the role of cooperatives is rather limited and in some provinces, small farmers complain about discrimination against them by traditionally commercial farmer-owned cooperatives. It was reported earlier that cooperatives had very little success in the previous “homelands”. They did not adequately serve the needs of their members, who in their turn did not feel it was their business; the cooperatives were formed for them without consulting them (Machethe, 1990). This is a not uncommon phenomenon in Africa.
- Very little value-adding is done by the small-scale farmers.
- Transport services and infrastructure vary from satisfactory to very poor, depending on location.
- Cool storage facilities are generally not available and in grain producing areas, silo’s are often not favourably located for the small-scale farmers.
- Small scale farmers generally do not have satisfactory access to market information.

These bottlenecks have to be overcome if land reform is to have a reasonable chance for success.

Supply of inputs:

This is really a mirror image of market access; the same type of phenomenon is prevalent, and made worse by the poor access to financial services.

Marketing services and input supply are not functions to be undertaken by government. Experience has world-wide shown governments to be poor and inefficient providers of such services. These are private sector activities, but it is the task of government to create an enabling environment. An advice bureau using well-trained and experienced experts should be considered by the authorities.

Transport infrastructure:

Parts of rural South Africa and indeed practically all of Africa are very poorly served by roads. This is an obvious and severe stumbling block for agricultural development and successful land reform. This is largely a responsibility of the different levels of government, who will have to foot the bill. Planning should be done in consultation with local communities

Other infrastructure:

Other infrastructural deficiencies that have to be overcome, include communications, health and water supply infrastructure. Part of these is public, community and part private sector responsibility. Government can for such purposes consider incentive schemes.

Research:

It has, since the days of Joseph Schumpeter, been widely recognised that economic progress largely depends on the ability of innovators and early adopters of new technology. In agriculture as in any other economic sector, purposeful research is needed to keep or render producers or traders competitive. In most countries, a major portion of agricultural research is either undertaken or financially supported by the State. This keeps or renders the agricultural sectors of these countries internationally competitive, thus benefiting these countries' farmers and agribusinesses. Any country that lags behind in agricultural research loses some of its competitive edge.

It is particularly important for South Africa to have a good agricultural research system; some research has to be added or redirected to cope specifically for the needs of new emerging farmers (Groenewald, 2000: 121-125). The challenges posed by both land reform and economic progress indicate a need for increased, and certainly not less research. Unfortunately the South African authorities appear to be blithely unaware or unconcerned. Farmers' journals regularly complain about deterioration in agricultural research. It has very recently been reported that decreases in core government funding and organizational changes have led to an exodus from South Africa's main agricultural research organization (Liebenberg & Kirsten, 2003). The deleterious effects this is likely to have on the competitiveness of South African agriculture is rather obvious; the effects on potential success in land reform are certain to be negative.

3.5 Prioritising

The process of land reform is an involved process, and everything cannot be done simultaneously. Successful management and execution of the programme will be dependent on the identification of priorities. Probabilities of success will logically be maximised if the bulk of early efforts is expended on those activities and locations offering the highest probability of success, coupled with activities expected to increase the probabilities of success in other activities, areas and fields.

One can visualise such a prioritising action as something similar to a dynamic, multi-period operations research problem with successful settlement of new farmers as objective. Constraints include time schedules, financial constraints,

manpower, institutional limitations, etc. Sequences of events and actions at different locations and of different magnitudes may be viewed as the activities involved in the process.

The author is not aware of any prioritising model used anywhere. However, less formal methods can probably be employed while keeping the problem as outlined in the previous paragraph, in mind. It is however very important to determine definite schedules of priorities for the sake of efficiency. If it is done well, it is certain to have a large effect on the effectiveness and efficiency of land reform; it may very well be the factor determining success or failure. It should also cause a ministry of finance to be more sympathetic concerning budgetary demands. Such a scale of priorities should moreover be published for public comment.

3.6 Land tenure

Much has been written about various models of land tenure and tenure reform as intrinsic part of some land reform initiatives. This matter cannot be dealt with in length within this paper.

In South Africa, mainly but not quite exclusively in the erstwhile “homelands”, and in common with large areas in Africa, much of the land is held under communal tenure with traditional leaders often dictating land use. Agricultural productivity is generally low on these lands, and much research is being done at particularly the University of Natal into ways to adjust or change the traditional system into one which can potentially support a more productive agriculture and more prosperous communities. One main problem appears to be a lack of security of tenure (Thompson & Lyne, 1995); one proposal to cope with the problem is the establishment of rental markets (Thompson & Lyne, 1990). Hernando de Soto, commenting on successes in Latin America, stressed the importance of converting ineffective systems into a modern property rights system where assets become accessible to everyone and easy to transact; without that there is no way to build a system of securitisation that will give the farmers access to credit or water, telephones or electricity. The system doesn’t need to be a carbon copy of any system anywhere else; the American system did not copy the British system, but evolved on its own, given local conditions (De Soto, 1996).

Many of the first land redistribution and restitution actions after 1994 transferred land to groups of people organised as Common Property Associations (CPA’s). A large number of these CPA’s have failed to produce profitably and satisfy the hopes of their members; a number of them have become insolvent. There is a challenge to divide rights to such land among members in such a way that the land can become productive or alternatively, to develop effective management systems for CPA’s. Models of Israeli kibbutzim and/or moshavim may be relevant.

When land is transferred to individuals using the normal land market, forms of tenancy similar to those existing in the USA, Belgium, France and Holland, should be investigated. These countries are generally accepted as leading agricultural producing countries, and in all of them, forms of tenancy play a major role. Interest rates charged by lending institutions in South Africa generally are a

multiple of the economic return on farmland, rendering tenancy an attractive alternative, provided South Africa will legislate institutions rendering it attractive to both tenant and owner. Institutions like the Landbank can play a crucial role.

Equity sharing schemes between commercial farmers and workers is another method of land reform practiced by some commercial farmers. Success of equity sharing depends on the success of the farm business made available, the terms of the contract and management after sharing equity. There is a need for an organisation to provide commercial farmers and the potential recipients with advice both before and after the move. This would ideally be a private venture, perhaps temporarily subsidised by the State.

4. TRADE RELATIONSHIPS

Trade has for very long played a very important role in South African agriculture. Calculations based on data from the *Abstract of Agricultural Statistics* (2001) show that in the decade of the 1990's, the value of agricultural exports amounted to 27.3% of the total value of agricultural production. Thus for land reform to succeed, a considerable proportion of the products of beneficiaries will have to be sold on foreign markets. South African agriculture has been shown to receive among the world's lowest rates of protection as measured by Producer Subsidy Equivalent (PSE) (Helm & Van Zyl, 1995). If South African product prices could be equated with international prices this could lead to a 14% increase in South African agriculture's gross geographical product (Jooste *et al*, 1998)

Problems in the export markets for South African farm products could thus seriously harm the welfare of farmers, including land reform beneficiaries. It could impair the likelihood of successful land reform. This does not apply only to South Africa, but to the entire African continent and indeed practically all other developing countries. The wealthy industrial countries, particularly the EU and USA have for a long time subsidised their farmers heavily to such an extent that exports of the resulting excess production have seriously eroded international market prices for products available for export from the developing world. These occurrences have had serious negative effects on production in developing countries. Subsidised exports from the wealthy countries have moreover often, in the form of dumping, eroded local markets for farmers in developing countries. Import tariffs, quotas and other impediments to exporting to the wealthy nations have exacerbated the problems faced by producers in developing countries. These are naturally also stumbling blocks for success in agricultural land reform.

International action and more sympathetic attitudes on the part of the designers of agricultural policy in the wealthy countries are needed. Time alone will tell whether the WTO is up to this task; The British magazine *The Economist* has already, in 1999, stated that both free trade and the WTO are in peril.

It must also be recognised that WTO rules have created the temptation for fraud. Large profits can be made by practices such as false invoicing, under-invoicing, multi-batch practices, etc. If such practices go undetected, local producers – including new commercial farmers who are beneficiaries of land reform, can lose large amounts of money; it can mean financial failure. South African experience

has shown that malpractice of this kind can assume very large proportions. Effective monitoring of imports for such practices requires much in the way of equipment, expertise and space; it is expensive. Yet, the rewards in terms of farmer prosperity and development are likely to be a multiple of the costs. South African experience suggests that the best results are obtained by combined efforts of the State and agricultural interests.

6. CONCLUSION

Land reform is a much more complicated process than realised by the general public, and also the majority of politicians, bureaucrats and journalists. It is an involved process requiring much in terms of finance, intellectual manpower, organisation, planning and execution. It requires serious research into the whole process, something in which the South African bureaucracy unfortunately does not appear to be interested in. The process also needs some understanding and sympathy in the international arena.

Eventually the main requirements are perhaps comprehension and the political will on the part of policy makers, coupled with commitment and perseverance on the part of those who have to do the job.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ABSTRACT OF AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS (2001). Pretoria: National Department of Agriculture.

BASSIS, M.S., GELLES, R.J. & LEVINE, A. (1991). *Sociology, an introduction*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

BURGER, P.J. (1971). The measurement of managerial inputs in agriculture III: The construction and evaluation of a scale. *Agrekon* 10(4): 5-11

CALLOW, A. & GROENEWALD, J.A. (1993) A comparison of methods of obtaining criteria to measure farmers' managerial aptitude. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension* 22: 1-5

CILLIERS, J. (2002). Grondhervorming: Kan staat dit laat slaag? *Landbouweekblad*, 26 July 2002: 62-63

DE SOTO, H. (1996). Securing property rights: The foundation of markets. *Economic Reform Today* number 1 1996

FREMY, J. (2000) Ten years of training for agricultural extension in Sub-Saharan Africa (1987-1998). *Proceedings of 34th Conference, South African Society for Agricultural Extension*.

GOUWS, A. (2002). Ontwikkelingsplan vereis meer sterk vennote. *Landbouweekblad*, 10 May 2002: 46-47.

GROENEWALD, J.A. (2000). *Impediments to the delivery of policy for growth with equity in South African agriculture*. Cape Town: Africa Institute for Policy Analysis and Economic Integration (AIPA), Unpublished report.

HELM, W. & VAN ZYL, J. (1995). Does South African agriculture receive too much support? – A comparison. *Agrekon* 34: 43-49.

ILORAH, R. (2000). A classification of different phases of development in the export sector of Nigerian agriculture. *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences* NS3: 234-272.

JANSEN, A.A., SWANEPOEL G.H. & GROENEWALD, J.A. (1972). The measurement of managerial inputs in agriculture IV: Applications with business results. *Agrekon* 11(2): 5-14.

JOHNSON, R.W. & SCHLEMMER, L. (1998). *Farmers and farm workers in KwaZulu-Natal*. Johannesburg: The Helen Suzman Foundation.

JOOSTE, A., ALIBER, M. & VAN SCHALKWYK, H.D. (1998). Indirect effects of different agricultural trade scenarios: A South African case study. *Agrekon* 37: 572-581.

KIRSTEN, J.F. & VAN ZYL, J. (1999). Approaches and progress with land reform in South Africa. *Agrekon* 38, Special Issue: 326-342.

LEWIS, W.A. (1952). Thoughts on land settlement. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 11: 3-11.

LIEBENBERG, F. & KIRSTEN, J. (2003). Status of agricultural research and development policy in South Africa. In Nieuwoudt, L. & Groenewald, J. (eds.), *The challenge of change. Agriculture, land and the South African economy*. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.

LIN, J.Y. (1994). Chinese agriculture: Institutional changes and performance. In: Srinivasan, T.N. (ed). *Agriculture and trade in China and India*. San Francisco: ICS Press.

MACHETHE, C.L. (1990). Factors contributing to poor performance of agricultural co-operatives in less developed areas. *Agrekon* 29: 305-309.

MADIKIZELA, S.P. & GROENEWALD, J.A. (1998). Marketing preferences and behaviour of a group of small-scale irrigation vegetable farmers in Eastern Cape. *Agrekon* 37: 100-109.

MANIE (2003) Hoekom opkomende saaiboere dikwels vou. *Landbouweekblad*, 25 Jul. 2003: 113-114.

MOSHER, A.T. ((1971) *To create a modern agriculture*. New York: Agricultural Develop Council.

MUKHALA, E. & GROENEWALD, D.C. (1998). Experiences and perceptions of black small-scale irrigation farmers in the Free State. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension* 27: 1-18.

NEL, G.P., GROENEWALD, J.A. & BOTHA, C.A.J. (1998). Managerial ability and farming success: An analysis of small Farmers at the Makatini Scheme. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension* 27: 45-60.

PIETERSE, G. (2003). Mentoring new managers. *Farmer's Weekly*, 11 Jul 2003: 25

RUTTAN, V.W. (1969). Equity and productivity issues in modern agrarian reform legislation. In: Papi, U. & Nunn, C. (eds) *Economic problems of agriculture in industrial societies*. London: MacMillan.

SHAPOURI, S. & ROSEN, S. (1997). *Food security assessment*. Washington: United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service Report GFA-9.

SPIO, K. (2003). *The impact and accessibility of agricultural credit: A case study of small-scale farmers in the Northern Province of South Africa*. PhD thesis, University of Pretoria.

SPIO, K. & GROENEWALD, J.A. (1997). Rural financial markets: An overview. *Agrekon* 36: 121-138.

SRINIVASAN, T.N. (1994). Indian agriculture: Policies and performance. In: Srinivasan, T.N. (ed), *Agriculture and trade in China and India*. San Francisco: ICS Press.

THE ECONOMIST (1999). Free trade in peril *The Economist* 8 May 1999.

THOMPSON, D.N. & LYNE M.C. (1991). A land rental market in KwaZulu: Implications for farming efficiency. *Agrekon* 30: 287-290

THOMPSON, D.N. & LYNE, M.C. (1995). Is tenure secure in communal areas? Some empirical evidence from KwaZulu-Natal. *Agrekon* 34: 178-182.

VAN RENEN, E. (1997). The BATAT marketing drive: Improving market access for small-scale farmers. *Agrekon* 36: 648-655.

VON PISCHKE, J.D. (1991) *Finance at the frontier. Debt capacity and the role of credit in the private economy*. Washington: The World Bank.