



AgEcon SEARCH
RESEARCH IN AGRICULTURAL & APPLIED ECONOMICS

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search

<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu>

aesearch@umn.edu

*Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.*

Estimation of Truck Volumes and Flows using Classification Counts



N. Mittal, M. Golias, Dr. M. Boilé, Dr. L. Spasovic, and
Dr. Kaan Ozbay

2004 Annual Forum of the Transportation Research Forum
Northwestern University, Transportation Center,
Evanston, Illinois

March 21st – 23rd , 2004

Sponsored by NJDOT

Freight transportation plays an important role in the economic development and prosperity of a state and a nation.

More than 375 million tons/year of freight in NJ


BACKGROUND

95% of all regional or local freight movement carried by trucks

Dramatic Growth in Truck Volumes over the past few years

Significant degradation of pavements caused by trucks


Poor Operating Characteristics of Trucks



Aim

The study aims at creating a Statistical Tool which will enable the estimation of Truck Volumes And Flows on a certain roadway based on Classification Counts, Roadway Functionality, Employment, Sales and Number of various Establishments (by SIC) in the State.

Methodology




Data Collection



- **Dependent Dataset:** Truck Counts by FHWA 13 vehicle classification system were collected from:
 - NJDOT's Bureau of Data Development.
 - New Jersey Turnpike Authority and the Delaware River Joint Bridge Commission and from NJDOT's non-classified ATR locations.
- **Independent Dataset: (Employment, Estimated Sales Volume and Number of Establishments)**
 - The ESRI BIS business location data (Environmental Systems Research Institute Business Information Solutions) was extracted from a comprehensive list of businesses licensed from InfoUSA.
- **Roadway Classes:**


FC 1,2 = rural interstate, major arterials	FC 6, 7, 8, 9 = rural minor arterials, collectors, local
FC 11 = urban interstate	FC 12 = urban expressways and parkways
FC 14 = urban major arterials	FC 16, 17, 19 = urban minor arterials, collectors, local

Data Locations



Statistical Analysis

- Develop relationships between truck traffic volumes on roadways with the adjacent land uses.
- A total of 269 locations were identified and data was collected for the truck traffic counts at these locations. The analysis was based on buffer area around each location. Typically for the study undertaken here, a 1 mile buffer radius was selected. Sensitivity analysis for other radii was performed.



Statistical Analysis

- The general structure of the regression model:

$$Y = a + b_1 * X_1 + b_2 * X_2 + \dots + b_p * X_p$$

where:

Y = Vehicle Class_5.....Class_13.

b_n = Independent Variable Coefficients

Models Built

1. *All trucks on rural interstate and major arterials ($R^2 = 0.74$)*

$$Y = 2607.14 - 145.41 (\text{emp_utility}) + 9.46 (\text{emp_retail}) + 0.448 (\text{sales_utility}) + 0.83 (\text{sales_trans}) - 245.93 (\text{cnt_retail})$$

2. *All trucks on rural minor arterials, and collectors ($R^2 = 0.80$)*

$$Y = 155.995 + 0.554 (\text{emp_wholes}) - 0.003 (\text{sales_wholes}) + 350.64 (\text{cnt_mining}) + 115.15 (\text{cnt_manu})$$

3. *All trucks on urban interstate ($R^2 = 0.83$)*

$$Y = 4667.59 + 20.04 (\text{emp_trans}) - 3.11 (\text{emp_finance}) + 0.01186 (\text{sales_retail})$$





Models (contd.)

4. *All trucks on urban expressways and parkways ($R^2 = 0.97$)*



$$Y = 6047.84 + 10.33 (\text{emp_real_estate}) - 1.18 (\text{emp_serv}) + 0.06 (\text{sales_const}) - 0.40 (\text{sales_real_estate}) + 417.79 (\text{cnt_agriculture}) - 284.86 (\text{cnt_const}) + 362.25 (\text{cnt_transportation}) + 416.08 (\text{cnt_finance})$$

5. *No model enters for urban major arterials*



6. *All trucks on urban minor arterials and collectors ($R^2 = 0.42$)*

$$Y = 284.04 - 0.059 (\text{emp_manu}) + 2.4 (\text{emp_utility}) + 0.79 (\text{emp_transp}) + 0.0678 (\text{emp_serv}) + 0.0614 (\text{sales_min}) - 0.00073 (\text{sales_manu}) - 242.965 (\text{cnt_mining}) - 4.6588 (\text{cnt_cost})$$


Highway Sections Profiles



GIS Framework



Sensitivity Analysis



Models become sensitive to the area included in the analysis at any roadway location. To estimate truck traffic on a Rural minor, land use activities in a radius of 0.5 miles are found to best capture the variance in the traffic. Truck traffic on interstates / expressways are better estimated using activities within a larger radius.

Conclusions



- Building models considering roadway functionality is very important.
- Number of Employees, Estimated Sales Volume and the Number of Establishments based on the Standard Industrial Classification for the region, may be considered as relatively good predictors for truck volumes.
- Creating vehicle profiles for different roadway sections helps in understanding the traffic flow patterns.
- Models become sensitive to the area considered at a given location on the roadway.
- More counts should be collected throughout the state, to ensure robust models. Additional data would also help increase the accuracy of the proposed method and would better enable engineers to estimate the locally generated and through traffic.

Thank You