

The World's Largest Open Access Agricultural & Applied Economics Digital Library

This document is discoverable and free to researchers across the globe due to the work of AgEcon Search.

Help ensure our sustainability.

Give to AgEcon Search

AgEcon Search
http://ageconsearch.umn.edu
aesearch@umn.edu

Papers downloaded from **AgEcon Search** may be used for non-commercial purposes and personal study only. No other use, including posting to another Internet site, is permitted without permission from the copyright owner (not AgEcon Search), or as allowed under the provisions of Fair Use, U.S. Copyright Act, Title 17 U.S.C.

LeeAnn E. Moss

Participant

Ph.D. Curriculum – Panel of Ph.D. Graduates Given at the 2002 WCC-72 Meetings in Las Vegas, NV

Presentation Outline

- Ex poste viewpoint of my experience in the Ph.D. program at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- Tempered by my perspective:
 - o Fall 2000 graduate
 - Two specialty area prelims taken agribusiness management and agricultural finance
 - o Returned in mid-30s after 10+ years in industry
 - Employed as an Academic Professional (staff) with The Center for Farm and Rural Business Finance while completing the degree
 - o A positive experience:
 - Excellent faculty who taught
 - Informal experiences (examples):
 - Ph.D. seminar
 - Strategy seminar (Mintzberg text)
 - Student-driven prelim preparation seminars
 - Job market talks faculty and department head
- An ideal Ph.D. program would:
 - o Develop analytical skills through application
 - Not just a topical or theoretical presentation
 - Give context, applications to current research questions and data
 - Industry hires? Some skills or abilities cannot be taught
 - Ability to communicate, lead and mentor in a team environment
 - Understand the whole
 - Are "divergers" made or born? (i.e., Ph.D. is just a "finishing school"
 - Recognize that management scholarship exists
 - "Program perspective"
 - Allow students to integrate business courses *for credit* (i.e., prior to prelims at Illinois)

- "Paradigm perspective"
 - This is huge
 - Traditionally-minded ag economists may equate this to "dumbing down" the program
 - This attitude permeates program committees, graduate advising, dissertation supervision, departmental leadership, and faculty perspective toward students
 - Leads to schizophrenia graduate students, new hires, P&T

• Acknowledge that teaching training is important

- My experience was unique
- Advisors promote/support gaining experience
- Department provide/promote opportunities (like econ and B-schools)

o "Practice what we preach" regarding the agriculture and food system

- Farm to table?
- Support/mentor students whose interests lie toward the *consumer* end of this continuum.
- Midwestern schools acceptable research projects:
 - E.g., close to farm gate, corn or soybean processing, meat packing
 - But what about other end of the channel (*consumer* economics?)

o Be more flexible and less rigid

- Dependent on formal program (e.g., classes) inadequate preparation for academia or industry
- Focus on our primary consumer the student!
- Allow students to design programs that meet individual needs and (research) interests
- Venue? The student-advisor interface