Mississippi State University
Department of Agricultural Economics
Research Report 2002-003
July 2002

The Economic and Environmental Impacts of Variable Rate Fertilizer Application: The Case of Mississippi

Dr. Walaiporn Intarapapong, Post-Doctoral Research Associate
Dr. Diane Hite, Assistant Professor
Dr. Darren Hudson, Associate Professor
Department of Agricultural Economics, Mississippi State University

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Walaiporn Intarapapong
Department of Agricultural Economics
Mississippi State University
P.O. Box 5187
Mississippi State, MS 39762

intarapapong@agecon.msstate.edu
Phone: 662-325-8746
Fax: 662-325-8777

Abstract

A number of programs have been introduced to limit environmental nonpoint pollution (NPP) associated with agricultural practices. One such program, precision agriculture, involves a range of management practices that utilize site-specific information at the field level. These practices can limit the amount of nutrient and chemical runoff to the environment because they precisely match fertilizer and pesticide application to the needs of the crop. This study uses bioeconomic modeling to investigate the environmental and economic impacts of precision agriculture technology associated with variable rate fertilizer application, as compared to a conventional, single rate application. The empirical results demonstrate that one particular precision agricultural technology, variable rate fertilizer application, can provide both environmental and economic benefits when used on cotton, soybeans, and corn in Mississippi. However, our results depend on several factors, such as soil variability, and the results may be different depending on local conditions.

Keywords: Economic and environmental benefits, Nonpoint pollution, Precision agriculture, Variable rate fertilizer

*This research was conducted with support from a grant provided by the US Department of Agriculture for Advanced Spatial Technologies (ASTA) research.

The Economic and Environmental Impacts of Variable Rate Fertilizer Application: The Case of Mississippi

I. Introduction

Environmental nonpoint pollution (NPP) problems associated with agricultural practices have come under increasing scrutiny in recent years. Agricultural practices are considered the largest contributor of surface water quality degradation in terms of sediment, runoff of nutrients, and leaching of chemicals (Crutchfield et al., 1993). Among the list of environmental damages, nutrients (such as nitrate and phosphorus) are suspected to be major contributors to nonpoint pollution of surface water. They are the primary source of impairment to fresh water bodies, affecting one third of the surveyed lake acres, streams, and rivers in the U.S. (USEPA, 1998). Nitrate contaminated water can pose health risks to humans and animals that drink it (Crosson and Brubaker, 1982) and is a source of public concern (Hite et al., 1999). Phosphorus loss in sediment is responsible for eutrophication, causing a reduction of oxygen levels in lakes and rivers. Reduced oxygen levels in turn have a negative impact on aquatic organisms, upsetting the balance of ecosystems (Torrent and Delgado, 2001).

A number of government programs have been introduced to directly limit environmental degradation including the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996. Precision agriculture programs allow farmers to employ alternative technologies and cultural practices to deal with environmental problems, offering another approach.

Precision agriculture involves a range of management practices that attempt to utilize site-specific information at the field level, such as soil characteristics and weather conditions, in order to adjust the inputs used and ultimately achieve optimal output (National Research Council, 1997). Precision agricultural technology is hypothesized to limit the amount of nutrient and chemical runoff to the environment because it precisely matches fertilizer and pesticide applications to the needs of the crop (in both quantity and timing). Kitchen et al. (1995) found that precision agriculture technology could help reduce the level of residual nitrogen found in soils, thereby reducing nitrogen contamination through erosion.

Precision agriculture involves three application processes: gathering information inputs such as yield mapping; processing of the precision information; and prescribing recommendations for input applications. To collect the data, farmers could choose a technique called local sensing which takes place simultaneously with recommended input application. Alternatively, they could use a global positioning system (GPS) to collect information related to crop production including grid soil sampling, yield monitoring, remote sensing; and crop scouting, all of which provide information inputs for management decisions (Hrubovcak et al., 1999).

Precision technology is applied in a variety of agricultural management systems and agricultural products such as crops, livestock, and forestry. For this study, only the variable rate fertilization component of precision agriculture is reported. In using precision agriculture technology, nitrogen fertilizer recommendations are varied in accordance with soil cation exchange capacity (C.E.C.)[1] and yield, while phosphorus fertilizer is prescribed in compliance with the soil phosphorus level.

To apply this technology, site-specific data collected in advance using GPS or collected in real time using local sensing is utilized. In practice, it is extremely difficult and time consuming to estimate environmental impacts on Mississippi as a whole by collecting site-specific data. We propose to measure the potential environmental impact of this technology through hypothetical fertilizer prescriptions based on soil C.E.C.

[1] Soil C.E.C. is a measure of the quantity of sites on soil surfaces that can retain positively charged ions by electrostatic forces. Cations retained electrostatically are easily exchangeable with other cations in the soil solution and are thus readily available for plant uptake.
http://www.soils.umn.edu/academics/classes/soil2125/doc/s12chap1.htm

obtained from secondary data sources. Despite the potential environmental benefit that would be realized from adopting precision application technology, farmers must at least perceive some economic benefits. This study, therefore, attempts to investigate the environmental and economic impacts of precision agriculture technology associated with variable rate fertilizer applications, as compared to a conventional, single rate application.

II. Methods

We use the Erosion Productivity Impact Calculator (EPIC) to assess the effect of precision agriculture practices on environmental parameters and farm net returns. The EPIC model was designed to simulate biophysical processes over a long period of time in a wide range of soil, climate, and crop conditions. The EPIC model is also capable of simulating agricultural yields and related environmental parameters under various management scenarios (Sharpley and Williams, 1990).

To estimate environmental impacts, we compare an agricultural practice consisting of a single fertilizer application rate on cotton, soybeans and corn to a variable rate as prescribed by soil characteristics. The single application rate refers to an unvaried fertilizer application rate on crops, regardless of the variability of soil characteristics within the field, while the variable rate is adjusted in accordance with soil C.E.C. and phosphorus levels (NRCS-USDA, http://vmhost.cdp.state.ne.us:96). The single rate fertilizer application in this study was obtained from a survey of producers' planning budgets for major crops in four soil resource areas of Mississippi: Delta, Brown Loam, Coastal Plain, and Black Belt (Mississippi State University, 1999, various issues). Agricultural practices, as well as single rate fertilizer application rates used, are included in each budget. Information on recommended variable rates was obtained from an agricultural consulting firm, while the C.E.C. of each soil type used in our simulation was acquired from the Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Laboratory and Mississippi County Soil Surveys.

To estimate economic net returns, farm budget data were used, so that net returns from conventional single rate fertilizer applications on cotton, soybeans and corn could be calculated and compared with those from the scenario in which variable rates are applied. According to Lambert and Lowenberg-DeBoer (2000), there are a number of cost items involved with precision agriculture, including those associated with the input applicator, information and data management, computer training, discount rates, equipment rental and depreciation rates, consulting charges, soil and mapping costs, and labor. For this study, only partial budgets on fertilizer input cost are considered.

III. Data

Six hypothetical farms form the basis for the bioeconomic modeling of the impact of introducing variable rate fertilizer application, as compared to a conventional, single-rate application. EPIC was used to estimate yields, input usage, and nonpoint agricultural pollution on each farm. The aforementioned farm budget data and information on nutrients, pesticides, herbicides, farm operations, and management practices are used as inputs for the regional hypothetical farms. Nitrogen runoff and phosphorus losses in sediment are the primary environmental parameters of interest because these are the primary factors contributing to NPP.

The six regional hypothetical farms were developed by first recognizing the predominant soil and topographic features of different parts of Mississippi; counties were assigned to the regions with the assistance of a specialist from the Department of Plant and Soil Sciences at Mississippi State University (personal communication, Larry Oldham, 2000). The soil types that cumulatively comprise at least 80% of the agricultural land within a region were included in the appropriate proportion for each hypothetical farm. For instance, the Delta region is composed of four major soil types: Alligator (20%), Dundee (15%), Forestdale (15%), and Sharkey (31%). The ten major soil resource areas were split among six regions: The Delta, Upper Brown Loam, Black Belt, Upper Coastal Plain, Lower Coastal Plain, and Lower Brown Loam. About 80% of these areas are comprised of four, eleven, twenty-six, fifteen, ten, and twelve different major soil types, respectively. For our simulation, only soil types that are appropriate for the crops of interest are simulated. For example, because corn is not suitable for clay soils such as Sharkey and Alligator soils, we do not simulate corn in such soil types.

Meteorological data for each region were obtained from the nearest weather station in each simulation region. Topographic and geological data on slope length, roughness of terrain, watershed size, and location of the nearest stream are used for each region as well. Most of these physical inputs were derived from Natural Resource Inventory data collected by the US Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). Figure 1 shows the regional divisions used in the EPIC modeling. The soil information used is available at the county level (i.e., acres of each soil type for each county). Therefore, regions are defined by county boundaries. Acreages of each soil type in each county were aggregated to the region level by following soil resource areas as a guideline. Our regions, therefore, were developed under county and soil region boundaries.

Six scenarios including continuous cotton, corn, and soybeans with single rate and variable rate fertilizer applications were simulated. Conventional tillage systems were assumed in all practices. The Planning Budgets contain information from experts on agricultural chemical use and other practices for major field crops in the Delta, Upper and Lower Brown Loams, Upper and Lower Coastal Plains, and Black Belt soil resources areas of Mississippi. Prices and costs are obtained from several sources including the

Mississippi Agricultural Statistics Service. In association with precision agriculture, variable nitrogen fertilizer rates for cotton are prescribed in accordance with soil C.E.C. levels. Nitrogen fertilizer is not recommended for soybeans. Nitrogen fertilizer application for corn is recommended according to the target corn yield, not soil C.E.C. as for cotton. Soil C.E.C.s varied even within the same soil type collected from different locations. For example, the C.E.C. in the first layer of Dundee soil collected at Tallahatchie County is 13.2, and 8.1 at Tate County. However, within the same soil type, the variation is not wide, and most of them fall in the same range of nitrogen fertilizer recommended rates. In this case, the average C.E.C. from a number of collected samples was used (Appendix A). The C.E.C.s of soils used in this study were obtained from the NRCS Soil Laboratory and the Mississippi County Soil Survey. The recommended rates for nitrogen fertilizer according to soil C.E.C. for cotton were obtained from the Agricultural Information Management, LLC, Lambert, Mississippi.

Phosphorus fertilization recommendations are based on phosphorus levels in soil samples collected from cropland in each county. This information is reported in the publication, "Summarization of Soil Test Data by Crop Selection," by the Extension Soil Testing Laboratory, 1999.

IV. Empirical Results

1. The Delta Region

Nitrogen fertilization of cotton is complex and involves a variety of factors, including potential yield, soil type, weather, etc. Nitrogen fertilizer rates vary from farm to farm and field to field within a farm. Weather, particularly intense rainfall, has great influence on the efficiency of applied nitrogen fertilizers since nitrogen can be lost through leaching and runoff. Another form of nitrogen loss is denitrification, which occurs in heavier textured soils. When these soils are saturated with water, bacteria break down nitrate, and the nitrogen releases into the atmosphere as nitrogen gas. Heavy and prolonged periods of rainfall can result in nitrogen losses severe enough to require additional nitrogen applications to correct the problem. Therefore, soil texture, which is represented by the soil C.E.C., is a significant factor in prescribing nitrogen fertilizer.

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer application rates in the Delta region for 700−800 lbs/acre of cotton yield according to Agricultural information Management, LLC are 70, 90, 120 and 130 lbs/acre for C.E.C. levels that range from <8, 8−18, 18−24 and >24 respectively, as compared to 120 lbs/acres which is used for single rate. For this region, nitrogen fertilizer of 130 lbs/acre is recommended for Alligator soil, while 120 lbs/acre is recommended for Dundee and Forestdale soils. According to the USDA-NRCS soil survey, Sharkey is not suitable for cotton, so it is not included in our cotton simulations.

Out of 6,194 soil samples from cotton fields in the Delta, over 80% contain a high level of phosphorus (P-level). At a high P-level, phosphorus fertilizer is not recommended. From the EPIC results, it is found that yields do not change between the recommended variable application rates and the single rate application scenario for cotton, while nitrate runoff and phosphorus loss in sediment decline by 4.30% and 3.39%, respectively (Table 1). Economic net returns increase about $4.96 per acre as a result of decreased input costs with variable rate applications.

On soybean planted areas, out of 1,708 soil samples, about 70% contain a high level of phosphorus. Generally, farmers do not add nitrogen fertilizer to soybeans. However, they do apply phosphorus fertilizer, which is not recommended in this area when P-levels are high. The EPIC results indicate no change in environmental parameters, while the economic net return increased by $8.23 per acre through the reduction in phosphorus fertilization (Table 1).

On corn planted acreage, out of 2,736 soil samples, over 70% of the samples contain a high level of phosphorus. There is no recommendation for phosphorus fertilizer for corn in this region. Recommended nitrogen fertilization rates were based on yield, not C.E.C. Corns are usually planted in soil with lighter texture. Therefore, target yield is the only factor used to determine the prescribed nitrogen recommended rate. The recommended rate of nitrogen fertilizer for corn is 130 lbs per 100 bushels/acre. The simulation results indicate that utilizing variable rate applications results in a reduction of 3.23% in yield, a 2.17% reduction in phosphorus and 6.04% reduction in nitrogen runoff, while the net return increases by $3.32 per acre as a result of cost savings from input use (Table 1).

2. The Upper Brown Loam Region

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer applications for the variety of soil types in this region range from 90 lbs/acre to 130 lbs/acre for cotton. Out of 1,491 soil samples from the cotton fields in the Upper Brown Loam Region, 65% and 31% contain high and medium levels of phosphorus, respectively. At the medium P-level, 46 lbs/acre of phosphorus fertilizer is recommended for cotton. Over 80% of soil tests in this region indicate high and medium P-levels. Therefore, two scenarios of 0 and 46 lbs/acre of phosphorus applications are simulated.

The simulations of both of the recommended applications of phosphorus indicate no change in cotton yields, while nitrogen and phosphorus runoff increase by 0.36% and 0.04%, respectively. Net returns increase by $21.53 and $9.06 per acre for both cases as a result of decreased variable input costs associated with variable rate applications (Table 2A-I and 2A-II).

Nitrogen fertilizer is not recommended for soybeans. Out of 862 soil samples, 56% and 32% tested at high and medium phosphorus levels. Therefore, the two scenarios of high and medium P-levels are simulated. The results for all environmental indicators of both scenarios are similar. As compared to single-rate application, nitrogen loss increases 0.27%, while there is no change in phosphorus loss in sediment and yield (Table 2B-I and 2B-II). Per acre returns for soybeans would increase by about $8.23 and $0.10 with variable rate applications, as compared to single-rate, due to savings in input use (Table 2B-I and 2B-II).

In the case of corn, nitrogen is recommended according to the yield target. 64% and 28% of 774 soil samples tested at high and medium P-levels. The simulation results on yield, nitrogen runoff, and phosphorus loss are the same for both cases. Phosphorus and nitrogen losses decline by 0.05% and 2.62%, respectively, while yield decreases by 1.30%, as compared to the single-rate application scenario (Table 2C-I and 2C-II). Despite a reduction in yield, net returns per acre still increase by $6.70 and $2.34 as a result of decreased expenditures on inputs.

3. The Black Belt Region

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer application on cotton for the Black Belt ranges from 70 lbs/acre to 130 lbs/acre. Out of 1,447 soil samples, 67% and 26% tested at high and medium P-levels. Forty-six lbs/acre of phosphorous is prescribed for soils with medium P-levels. The simulation results indicate similar environmental impacts in both cases. There is no change in yields and phosphorus loss, but nitrate runoff declines by 0.18%, as compared to single-rate (Tables 3A-I and 3A-II). At the same time, net returns per acre would increase by $8.33 to $16.46 per acre as a result of reductions in input use.

For soybeans, out of 2,205 soil samples, 55% tested at high P-levels; 32% of soil samples tested at a medium P-level with an application rate of 30 lbs/acre of phosphorus fertilizer suggested. Simulation with and without P-fertilizer results in no difference in environmental indicators compared to a single application rate, but net returns would increase between $0.10 and $8.23 per acre (Tables 3B-I and 3B-II).

In the case of corn, out of 1,828 soil samples, 64% and 28% tested at high and medium P-levels. For medium P-levels, 46 lbs/acre is the recommended application rate. The simulation scenarios with or without phosphorus fertilizer applications yield the same environmental impacts. As compared to the single application rate, yield, nitrogen runoff, and phosphorus loss in sediment decline by 3.14%, 11.20%, and 3.80%, respectively (Tables 3C-I and 3C-II). Net returns increase by $4.47 per acre.

4. The Upper Coastal Plain Region

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer for cotton in this region ranges from 70 lbs/acre to 130 lbs/acre. Out of 14 soil samples from the cotton planted area in this region, 57%, 7%, and 29% contain high, medium, and low P-levels, respectively. Forty-six and 90 lbs/acre are prescribed for medium and low P-levels, respectively. The simulation results of the recommended application with and without phosphorus application, as compared to a single-rate application indicate no change in yield, while nitrogen runoff increases by 0.62% and 0.90% for all three cases (Tables 4A-I, 4A-II and 4A-III). Per-acre returns increase between $9.49 and $21.96 as a result of savings in input costs with variable rate fertilization. However, net return, where 90 lbs/acre is recommended, is negative because the cost of the added phosphorus outweighs savings from a reduction of nitrogen fertilizer.

In the case of soybeans, out of 47 soil samples, 29%, 34% and 13% tested at high, medium, and low P-levels. Thirty and 80 lbs/acre of phosphorous application is recommended for soils testing with medium and high P-levels. Simulation results of variable rate, as compared to single rate application, indicate no change in environmental parameters. Economic net returns increase by $8.23 and $0.10 per acre as a result of savings in input costs with variable rate, while results indicate a net loss of $13.46 per acre where 80 lbs/acre is recommended (Tables 4B-I, 4B-II and 4B-III).

Out of 180 soil samples taken from corn fields in this region, 32%, 23%, and 19% are tested high, medium, and low P-levels, respectively. Phosphorus fertilizer of 0, 46, and 90 lbs/acre are recommended in accordance with P-levels in soil. For the zero phosphorus rate prescription, yield, nitrogen runoff and phosphorus loss in sediment decrease by 0.75%, 0.65% and 0.19%, respectively, while the net return increased $8.03 per acre (Table 4C-I). Net returns per acre increase despite a reduction in yield in the case of no phosphorus application because cost savings with variable rate fertilization offset a reduction in returns due to decreased yield. For recommendations of phosphorus fertilizer of 46 and 90 lbs/acre, environmental parameters are similar. Yield, nitrogen runoff, and phosphorus loss in sediment, compared to single-rate application, decline by 0.79%, 1.40%, and 0.19%, respectively. In both cases, net returns per acre range from –$4.56 to –$16.49 per acre (Tables 4C-II and 4C-III). When phosphorus fertilizer is added, the fertilizer cost leads to a reduction in per acre net returns.

5. The Lower Coastal Plain Region

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer applications for cotton in this region range from 70 lbs/acre to 90 lbs/acre. Out of 451 soil samples of the cotton planted area in this region, 50% and 44% tested for high and medium P-levels, respectively. Phosphorus fertilizer of 0 and 46 lbs/acre are recommended, respectively. Tables 5A-I and 5A-II show the simulation results of the application with and without recommended phosphorus fertilizer as compared to the single rate scenario. The results indicate no change in yield but phosphorus and nitrogen runoff decrease by 0.42% and 20.79%, respectively. Per-acre net returns are increased by $10.15 to $23.62.

For soybeans, out of 169 soil samples, 50% and 44% tested for high and medium P-levels, respectively. The amount of 0 and 30 lbs/acre of phosphorus applications are recommended for high and medium P-levels. Simulation results indicate no change in environmental indicators, while net returns per acre increase by $8.23 per acre and $0.10 per acre as a result of cost savings in input use (Tables 5B-I and 5B-II).

For corn, out of 535 soil samples, 53% and 27% contain high and medium P-levels, and 0 and 46 lbs/acre are recommended, respectively. Yields and all environmental parameters of both show the same results for phosphorous. Yield and nitrogen runoff declined by 0.90% and 0.29%, while phosphorus loss in sediment increased by 0.03%. At the same time, the net returns per acre range from −$4.83 to $7.63 (Tables 5C-I and 5C-II). A negative net return is a result of reduced yield that could not be compensated by a decrease in input costs with variable rate application.

6. The Lower Brown Loam Region

Recommended nitrogen fertilizer application for cotton in this region ranges from 70 lbs/acre to 130 lbs/acre. Out of 713 soil samples of cotton planted areas in this area, 61% and 30% tested at high and medium P-levels. Tables 6A-I and 6A-II show the simulation results of the recommended application with and without phosphorus applications, as compared to the single rate. Nitrogen runoff in this scenario declined by 0.43%. Yield in both cases did not change, while phosphorus loss in sediment was almost nonexistent: 0.01% for the first scenario and no change for the second scenario. The change in net returns per acre range between $9.73 and $22.21 as a result of cost savings in input use.

For soybeans, out of 523 soil samples, 50% and 30% contain high and medium P-levels. Simulations result in no change of environmental indicators, while economic net returns increase by $8.23 per acre and $0.10 per acre, respectively (Tables 6B-I and 6B-II).

Out of 666 soil samples of planted corn in this region, 62% and 24% tested for high and medium P-levels. Change in yields and other environmental parameters, as compared to the single rate, are the same in both cases. Yield, nitrogen runoff, and phosphorus loss in sediment decrease by 0.98%, 0.53%, and 0.14%, respectively (Tables 6C-I and 6C-II). The changes in per acre net returns range from −$4.98 to $7.48.

7. Statewide Impacts

Combining results of the individual regions, we can estimate the impact of precision agriculture on cotton, soybeans, and corn for the state as a whole. To perform this exercise, the six regions are aggregated by taking into account the planted areas of cotton, soybeans and corn in each region. Soil types appropriate for each crop are used in the simulation model. Information regarding appropriate crops for each soil type is obtained from Official Soil Series Data Descriptions, USDA-NRCS, Soil Survey Division, http://www.statlab.iastate.edu/soils/osd. Planted areas for each crop are reported in Appendix B. The results indicate all environmental parameters (nitrogen and phosphorus runoff) were reduced by about 2.00% for cotton (Table A-1), while net returns per acre increased, ranging from $4.96 to $16.78, based on average cotton price of 1999. For soybeans, according to EPIC simulation, there is no change in phosphorus loss, while nitrogen runoff and net returns per acre increased by 0.03% and $7.26, respectively (Table A-2). In the case of corn, there is a reduction in nitrogen runoff by 4.90%, and a reduction in phosphorus loss in sediment by 1.55%. Based on average corn price of 1999, net returns per acre increased by $3.15 (Table A-3).

V. Conclusions

The empirical results demonstrate that one aspect of precision agricultural technology, variable rate fertilizer application, used on cotton, soybeans, and corn in Mississippi can provide both environmental and economic benefits. Even though our study covers only one aspect of potential benefits of precision agriculture technology, the results indicate some positive economic and environmental impacts. Since the technology has not been widely adopted, full utilization could lead to substantial economic and environmental benefits. The results from an economic perspective show that the farmer would generally benefit from this technology by decreasing variable costs. At the state level, our analysis suggests that the greatest benefit from this technology could accrue for cotton growers whose average per acre net return would increase by $9.76, based on average cotton price of 1999. From an environmental perspective, applying this technology on corn would result in the maximum benefit to the environment, reducing nitrogen runoff and phosphorus loss in sediment by 4.90% and 1.55%.

The results on economic net return indicate that management of inputs may not lead to maximum yields, and in some cases, could cause a yield reduction.   However, economic net returns do increase due to a cost savings in input use despite reduced yield. Our results depend on several factors, such as soil variability, and may not necessary imply such performance on an individual farm. In addition, these results should be tempered by the fact that the net return calculations do not take into account the fixed cost of purchasing equipment. Thus, the net return of technology would be influenced by farm size.

This research is based on precision applications in combination with conventional cultural practices. Future research should include investigation of the impact precision application technologies in combination with no-till cultural practices might reveal. Preliminary results in this line of research suggest that incorporating no till with the variable rate fertilizer could yield a further reduction in environmental degradation. EPIC simulation results, reported in Table A-4, based on no-till corn and soybeans in the Delta indicate a reduction in nitrogen runoff and phosphorus loss in sediment ranging from 13.55% to 43.54%, while the results on cotton are less dramatic, with 4.48% reduction in nitrogen and 0.38% reduction in phosphorous loss.

References:

Crosson, Pierre R., and Stering Brubaker. 1982. "Resource and Environment Effect of U.S. Agriculture, " Resources for the Future, Washington DC.

Crutchfield, S.R., L.T. Hansen, and M.O. Ribaudo. 1993. "Agricultural and Water Quality Conflicts: Economic Dimensions of the Problem, " AIB-676, USDA, Economic Research Service, Washington DC.

Hite, D., D. Hudson, and D. Parisi. "Public Perception about Agricultural Pollution in Mississippi." Department of Agricultural Economics, Mississippi State University, Research Paper, March 1999.

Hrubovcak, James, Utpal Vasavada, and Joseph E. Aldy. 1999. "Green Technologies for a More Sustainable Agriculture, " Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Information Bulletin, No. 752. Washington DC.

Kitchen, N., D. Hughes, K. Sudduth, and S. Birrell. 1995. "Comparison of Variable Rate to Single Rate Nitrogen Fertilizer Application: Corn Production and Residual NO3-N, " in P. Robert, R. Rust, and W. Larson, eds., *Site-Specific Management for Agricultural Systems*. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America.

Lambert Dayton and J. Lowenberg-DeBoer. 2000. "Precision Agricultural Profitable Review, " Site-specific Management Center, School of Agriculture, Purdue University.

McCarty, William and Keith Crouse. 1999. "Summary of Soil Testing Data by Selected Crops, " Extension Soil Testing Laboratory, Mississippi State University.

Mississippi State University, Extension Soil Testing Laboratory 1999. "Summarization of Soil Test Data by Crop Selection."

Mississippi State University, Department of Agricultural Economic. 1999. "Black Belt 1999 Planning Budgets."

______"Brown Loam 1999 Planning Budgets. "

______"Coastal Plain 1999 Planning Budgets. "

______"Delta 1999 Planning Budgets. "

Sharpley, A.N. and J.R. Williams, eds. 1990. <u>EPIC--Erosion/Productivity Impact Calculator 1. Model Documentation</u>. USDA Technical Bulletin No. 1768.

Sharpley, A.N. and J.R. Williams, eds. 1990. <u>EPIC--Erosion/Productivity Impact Calculator 2.User Manual</u>. USDA Technical Bulletin No. 1768.

Torrent, J, and A Delgado, 2001. "Using Phosphorus Concentration in The Soil Solution to Predict Phosphorus Desorption to Water," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, Vol. 30(5), p. 1829-1835.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Soil Survey Laboratory, http://vmhost.cdp.state.ne.us:96

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Official Soil Series Data Descriptions, Soil Survey Division, http://www.statlab.iastate.edu/soils/osd

__________, Soil Conservation Service and Forest Service, Soil Survey of County, Mississippi. County and year are varied.

Figure 1. Representative Farm Regions



Table A-1. Aggregated Economic and Environmental Impacts of Conventional Agricultural Practices compared to Precision Agricultural Practice for Cotton

Region	% Change Nitrogen Runoff	% Change Phosphorus Loss	Profits ($/acre)	Profits ($/region)
1	-2.5440	-2.0066	4.96	3,543,800
2	0.0500	0.0052	17.50	3,097,712
3	-0.0179	0.0000	14.19	1,306,476
4	0.0059	-0.0016	13.41	85,340
5	-0.0253	-0.0056	16.78	162,575
6	-0.0410	-0.0014	14.88	2,646,703
Total	**-2.5724**	**-2.0100**		**10,842,606**

Table A-2. Aggregated Economic and Environmental Impacts of Conventional Agricultural Practices compared to Precision Agricultural Practice for Soybeans

Region	% Change Nitrogen Runoff	% Change Phosphorus Loss	Profits ($/acre)	Profits ($/Region)
1	0.0000	0.0000	8.23	1,0614,231
2	0.0273	0.0000	5.60	1,622,697
3	0.0000	0.0000	5.96	1,984,286
4	0.0000	0.0000	0.85	2,566
5	0.0000	0.0000	4.42	21,907
6	0.0000	0.0000	5.55	573,112
Total	**0.0273**	**0.0000**		**14,818,800**

Table A-3. Aggregated Economic and Environmental Impacts of Conventional Agricultural Practices compared to Precision Agricultural Practice for Corn

Region	% Change Nitrogen Runoff	% Change Phosphorus Loss	Profits ($/acre)	Profits ($/Region)
1	-2.1711	-0.7803	3.33	290,376
2	-0.4768	-0.0087	5.29	333,756
3	-2.1301	-0.7231	0.99	98,869
4	0.0067	-0.0018	-0.56	-3,539
5	-0.0080	0.0007	1.80	25,293
6	-0.1202	-0.0313	3.38	233,834
Total	**-4.8994**	**-1.5446**		**978,589**

Table A-4 Delta
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of Conventional Tillage with Single Rate and No Tillage with Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications

	Soil Type	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	% Change Soil Erosion	Change in Profit ($/acre)
Cotton	Alligator	0.4089	0.0000	8.9027	-0.3417	-24.7431	6.7924
	Dundee	0.2965	0.0000	-3.7175	-5.7835	-26.0409	5.4800
	Forestdale	0.2945	0.0000	-9.9099	-8.8982	-24.5197	5.4431
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.3808**	**-4.4757**	**-25.0621**	**17.7155**
Soybean	Alligator	0.2536	3.5714	-11.4120	-7.1651	-60.0509	4.7067
	Dundee	0.1839	6.2500	-31.8792	-27.8677	-67.0819	5.2412
	Sharkey	0.3798	0.0000	4.8696	5.1355	-53.6613	3.2743
	Forestdale	0.1827	6.2500	-55.2561	-46.8466	-70.0444	5.2059
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**3.1967**	**-17.0004**	**-13.5486**	**-60.7424**	**18.4281**
Corn	Dundee	0.5017	-4.3011	-27.7311	-35.3501	-78.9582	-26.7829
	Forestdale	0.4983	-1.0753	-55.4318	-51.7783	-79.1401	-21.6196
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-2.6936**	**-41.5346**	**-43.5364**	**-79.0489**	**-48.4025**

Table 1. Delta
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications

	Soil Type	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Cotton	Alligator	0.4089	0.0000	-3.1056	-2.8313	3.86
	Dundee	0.2965	0.0000	-4.4610	-5.6670	5.73
	Forestdale	0.2945	0.0000	-2.7027	-4.9512	5.73
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-3.3888**	**-4.2966**	**4.96**
Soybean	Alligator	0.2536	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
	Dundee	0.1839	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
	Sharkey	0.3798	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
	Forestdale	0.1827	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**8.23**
Corn	Dundee	0.5017	-4.3011	-2.9412	-9.8219	0.00
	Forestdale	0.4983	-2.1505	-1.3928	-2.2253	6.67
	Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-3.2294**	**-2.1696**	**-6.0364**	**3.33**

Table 2A-I. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton
(N-vary, P-0 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Loring	0.2152	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.11
Collins	0.2051	0.0000	0.0000	-0.1365	22.11
Falaya	0.1351	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.11
Grenada	0.1033	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.11
Providence	0.0758	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.11
Alligator	0.0669	0.0000	0.2725	5.7536	18.37
Oaklimeter	0.0553	0.0000	0.3378	0.0000	22.11
Dundee	0.0513	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.11
Arkabutla	0.0476	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	18.37
Lexington	0.0442	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	18.37
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0369**	**0.3570**	**21.53**

Table 2A-II. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton
(N-vary, P-46 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Loring	0.2152	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Collins	0.2051	0.0000	0.0000	-0.1365	9.65
Falaya	0.1351	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Grenada	0.1033	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Providence	0.0758	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Alligator	0.0669	0.0000	0.2725	5.7536	5.90
Oaklimeter	0.0553	0.0000	0.3378	0.0000	9.65
Dundee	0.0513	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Arkabutla	0.0476	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.90
Lexington	0.0442	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.90
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0369**	**0.3570**	**9.06**

Table 2B-I. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-0 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Loring	0.2152	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Collins	0.2051	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Falaya	0.1351	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Grenada	0.1033	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Providence	0.0758	0.0000	0.0000	3.5095	8.23
Alligator	0.0669	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Oaklimeter	0.0553	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Dundee	0.0513	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Arkabutla	0.0476	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Lexington	0.0442	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.2662**	**8.23**

Table 2B-II. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-30 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Loring	0.2152	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Collins	0.2051	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Falaya	0.1351	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Grenada	0.1033	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Providence	0.0758	0.0000	0.0000	3.5095	0.09
Alligator	0.0669	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Oaklimeter	0.0553	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Dundee	0.0513	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Arkabutla	0.0476	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Lexington	0.0442	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.2662**	**0.09**

Table 2C-I. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn
(N-0, P-0 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Collins	0.2857	-0.3247	0.3876	-4.6628	7.02
Falaya	0.1882	0.0000	-0.7143	-3.0755	10.35
Grenada	0.1440	-0.3096	0.0000	-0.0934	3.69
Providence	0.1056	-0.2201	0.0000	-3.6940	3.69
Oaklimeter	0.0770	-0.0828	0.0000	0.0000	7.02
Dundee	0.0715	-0.1571	0.0000	-0.1151	3.69
Arkabutla	0.0664	-0.0721	0.0000	-0.2708	7.02
Lexington	0.0616	-0.1311	-0.3984	-4.5149	3.69
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**-1.2975**	**-0.0482**	**-2.6192**	**6.71**

Table 2C-II. Upper Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn
(N-0, P-46 lbs/acre)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Collins	0.2857	-0.3247	0.3876	-4.6628	2.99
Falaya	0.1882	0.0000	-0.7143	-3.0755	6.33
Grenada	0.1440	-0.3096	0.0000	-0.0934	-0.34
Providence	0.1056	-0.2201	0.0000	-3.6940	-0.04
Oaklimeter	0.0770	-0.0828	0.0000	0.0000	2.99
Dundee	0.0715	-0.1571	0.0000	-0.1151	-0.04
Arkabutla	0.0664	-0.0721	0.0000	-0.2708	2.99
Lexington	0.0616	-0.1311	-0.3984	-4.5149	-0.04
Sum Wtg.	**1.0000**	**-1.2975**	**-0.0482**	**-2.6192**	**2.35**

Table 3A-I. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1023	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Providence	0.0817	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Leeper	0.0733	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.13
Savannah	0.0648	0.0000	0.0000	-0.7836	19.76
Vaiden	0.0633	0.0000	0.0000	-3.1149	14.13
Ora	0.0615	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Kipling	0.0583	0.0000	0.0000	0.0048	15.07
Prentiss	0.0510	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Falkner	0.0487	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	15.07
Falaya	0.0409	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Arkabutla	0.0395	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.13
Urbo	0.0377	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.13
Tippah	0.0359	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Oaklimeter	0.0303	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Marietta	0.0258	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Catalpa	0.0256	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0247	14.13
Brooksville	0.0248	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.13
Longview	0.0226	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Okolona	0.0343	0.0000	0.0000	2.0312	14.13
Chenneby	0.0210	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0030	17.88
Adaton	0.0195	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	15.07
Mathiston	0.0195	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	17.88
Belden	0.0177	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	14.13
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.1786**	**16.46**

Table 3A-II. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1023	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Providence	0.0817	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Leeper	0.0733	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.00
Savannah	0.0648	0.0000	0.0000	-0.7836	11.63
Vaiden	0.0633	0.0000	0.0000	-3.1149	6.00
Ora	0.0615	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Kipling	0.0583	0.0000	0.0000	0.0048	6.94
Prentiss	0.0510	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Falkner	0.0487	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.94
Falaya	0.0409	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Arkabutla	0.0395	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.00
Urbo	0.0377	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.00
Tippah	0.0359	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Oaklimeter	0.0303	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Marietta	0.0258	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Catalpa	0.0256	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0247	6.00
Brooksville	0.0248	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.00
Longview	0.0226	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Okolona	0.0343	0.0000	0.0000	2.0312	6.00
Chenneby	0.0210	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0030	9.75
Adaton	0.0195	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.94
Mathiston	0.0195	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.75
Belden	0.0177	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.00
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.1786**	**8.33**

Table 3B-I. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1169	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Providence	0.0934	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Leeper	0.0837	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Savannah	0.0741	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Kipling	0.0666	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Prentiss	0.0582	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Falkner	0.0557	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Falaya	0.0467	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Arkabutla	0.0451	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Urbo	0.0430	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Tippah	0.0410	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Oaklimeter	0.0347	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Marietta	0.0294	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Catalpa	0.0293	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Brooksville	0.0283	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Longview	0.0258	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Okolona	0.0392	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Chenneby	0.0241	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Adaton	0.0223	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Mathiston	0.0223	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Belden	0.0203	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**8.23**

Table 3B-II. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-30)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1169	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Providence	0.0934	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Leeper	0.0837	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Savannah	0.0741	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Kipling	0.0666	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Prentiss	0.0582	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Falkner	0.0557	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Falaya	0.0467	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Arkabutla	0.0451	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Urbo	0.0430	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Tippah	0.0410	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Oaklimeter	0.0347	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Marietta	0.0294	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Catalpa	0.0293	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Brooksville	0.0283	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Longview	0.0258	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Okolona	0.0392	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Chenneby	0.0241	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Adaton	0.0223	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Mathiston	0.0223	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Belden	0.0203	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.09**

Table 3C-I. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1160	-4.1667	-2.0979	-7.9721	1.09
Providence	0.0926	-5.1546	-5.2960	-14.1218	-2.24
Leeper	0.0830	-1.1628	-6.8140	-15.2285	11.09
Savannah	0.0734	-7.3684	1.0417	-1.9647	-8.90
Vaiden	0.0717	0.0000	-0.8230	-8.4646	14.42
Ora	0.0697	-6.2500	-0.8197	-4.6524	-5.57
Prentiss	0.0577	0.0000	-1.7316	-2.0641	14.43
Falkner	0.0552	-5.2632	-4.9231	-17.0235	-2.24
Falaya	0.0463	0.0000	-1.2563	-4.5337	14.43
Arkabutla	0.0447	-3.2609	-6.8681	-16.3567	4.43
Urbo	0.0427	0.0000	-6.8182	-16.6159	14.43
Tippah	0.0406	-4.3478	-6.9231	-20.9674	1.09
Oaklimeter	0.0344	-5.2083	-4.4248	-16.5022	-2.24
Marietta	0.0292	-3.1250	-2.3438	-8.0377	4.43
Catalpa	0.0290	0.0000	-4.0000	-11.0156	14.43
Longview	0.0256	-3.0928	-6.8376	-15.3694	4.43
Chenneby	0.0239	-2.0619	-6.5611	-17.9770	7.76
Adaton	0.0221	-2.1739	-7.3099	-17.6935	7.76
Mathiston	0.0221	-2.1739	-7.4380	-16.9306	7.76
Belden	0.0201	0.0000	-7.8723	-17.5634	14.43
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-3.1413**	**-3.8007**	**-11.1954**	**4.47**

Table 3C-II. Black Belt
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Mantachie	0.1160	-4.1667	-2.0979	-7.9721	-11.38
Providence	0.0926	-5.1546	-5.2960	-14.1218	-14.71
Leeper	0.0830	-1.1628	-6.8140	-15.2285	-1.38
Savannah	0.0734	-7.3684	1.0417	-1.9647	-21.38
Vaiden	0.0717	0.0000	-0.8230	-8.4646	1.96
Ora	0.0697	-6.2500	-0.8197	-4.6524	-18.04
Prentiss	0.0577	0.0000	-1.7316	-2.0641	1.96
Falkner	0.0552	-5.2632	-4.9231	-17.0235	-14.71
Falaya	0.0463	0.0000	-1.2563	-4.5337	1.96
Arkabutla	0.0447	-3.2609	-6.8681	-16.3567	-8.04
Urbo	0.0427	0.0000	-6.8182	-16.6159	1.96
Tippah	0.0406	-4.3478	-6.9231	-20.9674	-11.38
Oaklimeter	0.0344	-5.2083	-4.4248	-16.5022	-14.71
Marietta	0.0292	-3.1250	-2.3438	-8.0377	-8.04
Catalpa	0.0290	0.0000	-4.0000	-11.0156	1.96
Longview	0.0256	-3.0928	-6.8376	-15.3694	-8.04
Chenneby	0.0239	-2.0619	-6.5611	-17.9770	-4.71
Adaton	0.0221	-2.1739	-7.3099	-17.6935	-4.71
Mathiston	0.0221	-2.1739	-7.4380	-16.9306	-4.71
Belden	0.0201	0.0000	-7.8723	-17.5634	1.96
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-3.1413**	**-3.8007**	**-11.1954**	**-8.00**

Table 4A-I. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2271	0.0000	-0.8850	-1.8213	23.99
Ora	0.2105	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Mantachie	0.1426	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Vaiden	0.0939	0.0000	0.0000	7.7793	18.37
Shubuta	0.0851	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Prentiss	0.0567	0.0000	0.0000	-4.1173	23.99
Alligator	0.0552	0.0000	0.0000	6.4402	18.37
Kipling	0.0464	0.0000	0.0000	3.8178	19.31
Providence	0.0335	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.2009**	**0.6159**	**21.96**

Table 4A-II. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2388	0.0000	0.0000	-1.8213	11.53
Ora	0.2214	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Mantachie	0.1500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Vaiden	0.0987	0.0000	0.0000	7.8052	5.90
Shubuta	0.0895	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Prentiss	0.0596	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0041	11.53
Alligator	0.0581	0.0000	0.0000	6.4563	5.90
Kipling	0.0488	0.0000	0.0000	3.8178	6.84
Providence	0.0352	0.0000	0.0000	0.0283	9.65
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.8974**	**9.49**

Table 4A-III. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-90)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2388	0.0000	0.0000	-1.8213	-0.40
Ora	0.2214	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.28
Mantachie	0.1500	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.28
Vaiden	0.0987	0.0000	0.0000	7.8052	-6.03
Shubuta	0.0895	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.28
Prentiss	0.0596	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0041	-0.40
Alligator	0.0581	0.0000	0.0000	6.4563	-6.03
Kipling	0.0488	0.0000	0.0000	3.8178	-5.09
Providence	0.0352	0.0000	0.0000	0.0283	-2.28
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.8974**	**-2.44**

Table 4B-I. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.3512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Mantachie	0.2206	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Shubuta	0.1316	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Prentiss	0.0877	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Alligator	0.0854	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Kipling	0.0718	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Providence	0.0518	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**8.23**

Table 4B-II. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-30)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.3512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Mantachie	0.2206	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Shubuta	0.1316	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Prentiss	0.0877	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Alligator	0.0854	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Kipling	0.0718	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Providence	0.0518	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.09**

Table 4B-III. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-80)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.3512	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Mantachie	0.2206	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Shubuta	0.1316	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Prentiss	0.0877	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Alligator	0.0854	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Kipling	0.0718	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Providence	0.0518	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-13.46
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**-13.46**

Table 4C-I. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2381	-1.1111	0.0000	0.2406	7.02
Ora	0.2208	-1.0638	0.0000	0.0000	7.02
Mantachie	0.1496	-1.0526	0.0000	-0.1096	7.02
Vaiden	0.0984	0.0000	-1.6026	-3.0779	10.35
Shubuta	0.0892	-1.0753	0.8130	0.2200	7.02
Prentiss	0.0595	0.0000	-0.3534	-4.3007	10.35
Alligator	0.0579	0.0000	-1.4706	-2.5549	10.35
Eutis	0.0514	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	10.35
Providence	0.0351	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	10.35
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.7528**	**-0.1914**	**-0.6462**	**8.03**

Table 4C-II. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2381	-1.1111	0.0000	0.2406	-5.45
Ora	0.2208	-1.0638	0.0000	0.0000	-5.45
Mantachie	0.1496	-1.0526	0.0000	-0.1096	-5.45
Vaiden	0.0984	0.0000	-1.6026	-3.0779	-2.12
Shubuta	0.0892	-1.0753	0.8130	0.2200	-5.45
Prentiss	0.0595	0.0000	-0.3534	-4.3007	-2.12
Alligator	0.0579	0.0000	-1.4706	-13.4194	-2.12
Eutis	0.0514	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.12
Providence	0.0351	-1.0526	0.0000	-3.6174	-5.45
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.7898**	**-0.1914**	**-1.4023**	**-4.56**

Table 4C-III. Upper Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-90)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Savannah	0.2381	-1.1111	0.0000	0.2406	-17.38
Ora	0.2208	-1.0638	0.0000	0.0000	-17.38
Mantachie	0.1496	-1.0526	0.0000	-0.1096	-17.38
Vaiden	0.0984	0.0000	-1.6026	-3.0779	-14.05
Shubuta	0.0892	-1.0753	0.8130	0.2200	-17.38
Prentiss	0.0595	0.0000	-0.3534	-4.3007	-14.05
Alligator	0.0579	0.0000	-1.4706	-13.4194	-14.05
Eutis	0.0514	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-14.05
Providence	0.0351	-1.0526	0.0000	-3.6174	-17.38
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.7898**	**-0.1914**	**-1.4023**	**-16.49**

Table 5A-I. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2657	0.0000	0.0000	-0.4608	22.12
Malbis	0.1781	0.0000	-2.4000	-12.7296	23.99
Savannah	0.1294	0.0000	0.0000	-0.1737	22.12
Poarch	0.1122	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	23.99
Ora	0.1110	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Prentiss	0.0692	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	23.99
Providence	0.0523	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Alligator	0.0481	0.0000	0.1580	6.9237	23.99
Falkner	0.0341	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.4199**	**-2.0795**	**22.62**

Table 5A-II. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change Yields	% Change N-Loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2657	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Malbis	0.1781	0.0000	-2.4000	-11.2122	11.53
Savannah	0.1294	0.0000	0.0000	-0.1737	9.65
Poarch	0.1122	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	11.53
Ora	0.1110	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Prentiss	0.0692	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0029	11.53
Providence	0.0523	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Alligator	0.0481	0.0000	0.1580	6.9237	5.90
Falkner	0.0341	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.4199**	**-1.6870**	**10.15**

Table 5B-I. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2989	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Malbis	0.2004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Savannah	0.1455	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Poarch	0.1262	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Prentiss	0.0778	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Providence	0.0588	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Alligator	0.0541	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Falkner	0.0384	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**8.23**

Table 5B-II. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-30)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2989	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Malbis	0.2004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Savannah	0.1455	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Poarch	0.1262	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Prentiss	0.0778	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Providence	0.0588	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Alligator	0.0541	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Falkner	0.0384	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.09**

Table 5C-I. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2665	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	10.35
Malbis	0.1787	-2.2222	0.0000	-0.1139	3.69
Savannah	0.1298	-2.2472	0.5682	0.2215	3.69
Poarch	0.1125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	10.35
Ora	0.1114	-1.0753	0.0000	0.0000	7.02
Prentiss	0.0694	0.0000	-0.5277	-0.5119	10.35
Providence	0.0524	-1.0526	-0.2066	-2.9201	7.02
Eutis	0.0450	0.0000	0.0000	-0.2278	10.35
Falkner	0.0342	-1.0870	0.0000	-2.7512	7.02
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.9009**	**0.0263**	**-0.2847**	**7.64**

Table 5C-II. Lower Coastal Plain
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-loss	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
McLaurin	0.2665	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.12
Malbis	0.1787	-2.2222	0.0000	-0.1139	-8.78
Savannah	0.1298	-2.2472	0.5682	0.2215	-8.78
Poarch	0.1125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	-2.12
Ora	0.1114	-1.0753	0.0000	0.0000	-5.45
Prentiss	0.0694	0.0000	-0.5277	-0.5119	-2.12
Providence	0.0524	-1.0526	-0.2066	-2.9201	-5.45
Eutis	0.0450	0.0000	0.0000	-0.2278	-2.12
Falkner	0.0342	-1.0870	0.0000	-2.7512	-5.45
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.9009**	**0.0263**	**-0.2847**	**-4.83**

Table 6A-I. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.3344	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Loring	0.2114	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0314	22.12
Ora	0.0927	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Oaklimeter	0.0694	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Falaya	0.0686	0.0000	-0.2119	0.0000	22.12
Savannah	0.0502	0.0000	0.0000	-8.4583	23.99
Riedtown	0.0378	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Adler	0.0376	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0347	23.99
Kipling	0.0350	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0038	22.12
Morganfield	0.0339	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	22.12
Dundee	0.0290	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	19.31
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.0145**	**-0.4326**	**22.21**

Table 6A-II. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Cotton (N-vary, P-46)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.3344	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Loring	0.2114	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Ora	0.0927	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Oaklimeter	0.0694	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Falaya	0.0686	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Savannah	0.0502	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0798	11.53
Riedtown	0.0378	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	9.65
Adler	0.0376	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	11.53
Kipling	0.0350	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0038	9.65
Morganfield	0.0339	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0325	9.65
Dundee	0.0290	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	6.84
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**-0.0041**	**9.74**

Table 6B-I. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.3180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Loring	0.2010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Ora	0.0882	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Oaklimeter	0.0660	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Falaya	0.0653	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sharkey	0.0489	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Savannah	0.0477	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Riedtown	0.0359	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Adler	0.0358	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Kipling	0.0333	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Morganfield	0.0323	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Dundee	0.0276	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.23
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**8.23**

Table 6B-II. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Soybeans (N-0, P-30)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.3180	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Loring	0.2010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Ora	0.0882	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Oaklimeter	0.0660	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Falaya	0.0653	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sharkey	0.0489	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Savannah	0.0477	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Riedtown	0.0359	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Adler	0.0358	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Kipling	0.0333	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Morganfield	0.0323	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Dundee	0.0276	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.09
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.0000**	**0.09**

Table 6C-I. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.4437	-1.1236	-0.2463	-0.5423	7.02
Ora	0.1230	-1.1364	0.0000	-0.0798	7.02
Oaklimeter	0.0920	-1.1364	0.0000	-0.4114	7.02
Falaya	0.0911	0.0000	-0.3509	-0.3595	10.35
Savannah	0.0666	-1.1765	0.6757	0.0470	7.02
Riedtown	0.0501	0.0000	-0.4684	-3.4916	10.35
Adler	0.0499	-1.1494	0.0000	-0.3758	7.02
Morganfield	0.0450	-1.1905	0.0000	0.0000	7.02
Dundee	0.0385	-1.1494	-0.4717	-0.4345	7.02
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.9765**	**-0.1379**	**0.0000**	**7.49**

Table 6C-II. Lower Brown Loam
A Comparison of Environmental and Economic Impacts of
Single Rate and Variable Rate Fertilizer Applications for Corn (N-130, P-0)

Soil Types	Soil Proportion	% Change Yields	% Change P-loss	% Change N-runoff	Change in Net Return ($/acre)
Providence	0.4437	-1.1236	-0.2463	-0.5423	-5.45
Ora	0.1230	-1.1364	0.0000	-0.0798	-5.45
Oaklimeter	0.0920	-1.1364	0.0000	-0.4114	-5.45
Falaya	0.0911	0.0000	-0.3509	-0.3595	-2.12
Savannah	0.0666	-1.1765	0.6757	0.0470	-5.45
Riedtown	0.0501	0.0000	-0.4684	-3.4916	-2.12
Adler	0.0499	-1.1494	0.0000	-0.3758	-5.45
Morganfield	0.0450	-1.1905	0.0000	0.0000	-5.45
Dundee	0.0385	-1.1494	-0.4717	-0.4345	-5.45
Sum Wgt.	**1.0000**	**-0.9765**	**-0.1379**	**-0.5284**	**-4.98**

Appendix A. Soil C.E.C. (Cation Exchange Capacity)

Soil	C.E.C.	Soil	C.E.C.
Adler	8.60	Malbis	6.32
Alligator	48.60	Mantachie	9.91
Collins	10.70	McLaurin	6.70
Dundee	14.90	Morganfield	9.10
Eustis	5.05	Ora	9.60
Falaya	17.10	Prentiss	9.85
Falkner	16.52	Providence	13.18
Forestdale	20.05	Sharkey	42.00
Grenada	11.54	Shubuta	12.75
Kipling	18.09	Tippah	9.10
Leeper	31.50	Urbo	26.56
Lexington	10.63	Vaiden	33.35
Loring	14.20		

Note: C.E.C is sum of cation exchange capacity.
C.E.C of each soil type is estimated from an average C.E.C. of a number of soil samples.

Source: United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Soil Survey Laboratory, http://vmhost.cdp.state.ne.us:96

Appendix B. Planted Acreage in Mississippi, 1999

Region	Cotton (acres)	Corn (acres)	Soybeans (acres)	Total (acres)
Delta	713,900	87,200	1,289,700	2,090,800
Upper Brown Loam	177,000	63,067	289,575	529,642
Black Belt	92,089	100,216	333,143	525,448
Upper Coastal Plain	6,363	6,279	3,004	15,646
Lower Coastal Plain	9,690	14,070	4,953	28,713
Lower Brown Loam	177,814	69,202	103,286	350,302
Total	1,176,856	340,034	2,023,661	3,540,551

Source: Mississippi Agricultural Statistics Service